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## Intersections

## Journals and "Journals": Taking a Deeper Look: Part 2: DOAJ Subset and Additional Notes

It all began with the rapid growth of publishers (and "publishers") and journals (and "journals") tagged by Jeffrey Beall as "predatory" (now prefaced with "potential, possible or probable")—and with Beall's assertions about OA and its supporters in general. I thought it would be useful to see to what extent the things on Beall's list were representative of Gold OA as a whole, and set out to do that.

I believe Journals, "Journals" and Wannabes: Investigating the List, the July 2014 Cites \& Insights (14:7), was a useful step in that regard, both in demonstrating that many of the "journals" and "publishers" in Beall's lists were phantoms-and in demonstrating that they were neither a dominant part of or particularly representative of Gold OA journals as a whole. For that matter, the number of journals with extremely low APCs say to me that the right word is "questionable," not "predatory": Some (perhaps most) of the actual journals in Beall's lists may be questionable but they're not all about ripping off naïve authors for huge sums of money.

The July 2014 study used journals published by members of the Open Access Serial Publishers Association (OASPA) as a control group for comparison with journals on Beall's lists and included a limited look at actual publication volume, mostly seeing whether a journal managed to publish 20 or more articles in a recent year or 30 in two years. But OASPA is itself not all that representative of Gold OA journals as a whole-and the limited articlevolume check was really too limited and covered too short a period.

I embarked on a more ambitious and longer project that involves a much larger subset of journals in the Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ).

The new project involved checking the websites of more than 11,000 journals and "journals," taking more complete counts of article volume in 2012 and 2013, going back to 2011, and deliberately limiting 2014 counts to the first half of the year-starting the checking on July 1, 2014.

Part 1 of this discussion, Journals and "Journals": TAKing a Deeper Look, appears as the October/November 2014 Cites \& Insights (14:10). That article includes detailed background on the project and how it proceeded and analysis of the results for the Beall set and the OASPA set, with an overall table introducing the DOAJ set. If you haven't yet read that article, you should do so before reading this issue: I don't repeat most of the background discussion, and I do draw comparisons that refer back to that article.
A formatting note: For these two reports-this issue and the October/November 2014 issue-if you're not planning to print out the issue, you're better off with the single-column $6 \times 9$ " version. Tables are wider and sometimes have larger and easier-to-read type in the single-column version. I won't attempt to balance column lengths in either issue, since tables need to appear within a single column.

This article looks at the DOAJ set in detail, including the same measures used for Beall and OASPA, but adds a new set of tables showing annual distribution of articles for a given subset of jour-nals-and also annual distribution of the number of journals with any articles in that year, and the percentage of no-APC (that is, free) journals and articles. After that discussion, we'll look at broad subjects, roughly two dozen of them, to see how the three sets compare and to what extent key issues such as general publishing volume and percentage
of journals with and without article processing charges (APCs) differ by general subject. In case it wasn't already clear, I use "APC" to refer to all au-thor-side charges, including mandatory membership and submission or reviewing fees.

For clarity in comparisons, table numbers in Part 2 are preceded with "2."; thus, "Table 14 " refers to a table in the October/November Cites $\&$ Insights while "Table 2.14 " is a table in this report. Where triplets of the new distribution table appear (one each for the three sets of journals), they use letters to distinguish the three (e.g., Table 2.7a, Table 2.7b, Table 2.7c).

## A voyage of discovery

In case it wasn't obvious in the October/November 2014 essay, I'll say it up front: both parts are written as a voyage of discovery. I didn't begin the essay knowing everything that was in the tables. I generate the tables, then comment on them. If only $3 \%$ of journals in the OASPA set had lacked APCs, I would have noted that and wondered why the percentage was even lower than for Beall-but as it is, I could note that $42 \%$ (OASPA's no-fee percentage) was 10 times Beall's $4.2 \%$ (not surprising, since his hobbyhorse is all about charges). At this point, I don't know what the percentages will be for the DOAJ set, but I'm guessing they'll differ considerably by subject area.

## A bit of the background

You need to read the October/November 2014 issue for detailed background-more than nine pages worth in all. I won't repeat that background, but here are a few quick notes.

The DOAJ set begins with all journals in DOAJ as of May 7, 2014. I deleted journals that didn't list English as the first-named language (because I'm monolingual). I attempted to remove all journals in the fields of biology, biochemistry and human medicine, as those seemed to me to be the primary subjects in the Beall and OASPA sets. I removed journals published by publishers in the other two sets and, to the extent that I could, journals in Beall's "independent" list (only about 54 of those). Finally, another 127 journals disappeared during the investigation for one of several reasons (e.g., they actually were from publishers in the Beall or OASPA set or they didn't have enough English in the interface for me to be able to do the investigation). The final set discussed here includes 3,338 journals.

I visited each journal site, looking for obvious yellow-flag or red-flag issues but mostly checking for APCs and counting articles for 2011, 2012, 2013
and the first half of 2014. (That sometimes involved approximation: see the October/November issue.) I also flagged some journals as opaque or obscure because I found it too difficult to do the article count; those journals aren't part of the detailed analysis.

Finally, the groups (previously "grades") in this report differ from those in the July 2014 report in several ways, most of them intended to provide more consistency; see the details in October/November 2014.

Unlike Beall and OASPA, I do not include counts of journals and articles by publisher for DOAJ because there are too many publishers, most with only one or a handful of journals. My first attempt at clustering journals by publisher yielded some 2,500 publishers for 3,338 articles.
The DOAJ Set, Overall Figures

| Group | Count | \%All | \%A-E |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| A: Apparently good | 1,942 | $58.2 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ |
| B: May need investigation | 274 | $8.2 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |
| C: Highly questionable | 69 | $2.1 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| D: Dormant or diminutive | 558 | $16.7 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ |
| E: Empty | 8 | $0.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| H: Hybrid | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| N: Not OA peer-reviewed | 140 | $4.2 \%$ |  |
| O: Opaque or obscure | 175 | $5.2 \%$ |  |
| X: Unreachable | 172 | $5.2 \%$ |  |
| Total | 3,338 |  | 2,851 |

Table 2.1. Journals in DOAJ set
Table 2.1 is comparable to Tables 7 and 29 and, except for the final column, Tables 2 and 4. It shows the overall breakdown of the 3,338 DOAJ journals by group-with \%All showing the percentage of the 3,338 represented by a group and \%A-E showing the percentage of the analyzed set, the 2,851 journals that receive full discussion here.

Compared to the other groups, the DOAJ set has by far the highest percentage of A journals (partly because so many OASPA journals charge APCs of $\$ 1,000$ or more) and by far the lowest number and percentage of empty journals. The percentage of opaque and unreachable journals is a bit lower than the Beall set but much higher than the OASPA set; the latter is not a surprise.

Most tables cover groups A-D, with E included in certain cases. But first, let's look at the four groups that aren't included in further analysis.

## H: Hybrid journals

As far as I can tell, there aren't any of these in the DOAJ set. That's good. There may be some that don't offer free access to everything in the journal, but that do offer free access to all peer-reviewed articles. That's still full Gold OA: It's entirely appropriate for a journal to offer paid subscribers access to editorials, news reports, conference reports, book reviews and other non-peer-reviewed items that aren't free for everybody.

## N: Not an OA peer-reviewed article journal

Note the full definition of N : Not peer-reviewed arti-cles-or not fully readable without registration or other barriers. The 140 cases here may seem high as compared to the 50 in the Beall set, but that's misleading: another 417 journals in the Beall set were flagged as N in the July 2014 report and not included in this larger study. (The 50 are cases that either added barriers over the summer or were more clearly not fully OA.)

What's included here? One "journal" that appears to be a blog rather than a refereed journal; some two dozen that appear not to be peer-reviewed based on their own descriptions; one that now appears only as a link to priced print-on-demand annual paperbacks; a couple that consist entirely of book reviews; around three dozen that appear to consist entirely of conference proceedings; more than a dozen with embargos-recent articles aren't available without a charge, where "recent" means anywhere from one quarter to five years-or other limits on access to articles. Several that explicitly limit copying (or even preclude it); some that are magazines or newsletters, not journals; a few that are monographic series; some two dozen that require registration in order to read articles; and a few that are either staff-written (and not formally peerreviewed) or consist entirely of solicited articles.

Some of these aren't OA at all (in a few cases, they say so); many more are OA but aren't peerreviewed scholarly articles.

## O: Opaque or obscure

I tried to count articles by year in as many journals as possible. In 175 cases among the DOAJ set, I gave up.

Why? Reasons include 60 journals with no dates in the archive, either at the volume or issue level. More than 60 have archives consisting entirely of whole-issue PDFs or using multipage PDFs to present the contents: it was just too cumbersome to do. Some have archives that are so poorly supported that it simply took too long to scan them-or don't
provide any way to scan the archives at all. In some cases, I couldn't even find the archive. Some have multilayer archives that are so complex that I gave up; in at least one case, articles from more than one journal appear in a single intermingled archive. One journal includes three years of articles in each issue.

| APC | Journals | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 300-\$ 599$ | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 299$ | 6 | $3 \%$ |
| $\$ 100-\$ 199$ | 13 | $7 \%$ |
| $\$ 50-\$ 99$ | 5 | $3 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 49$ | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| None | 124 | $71 \%$ |
| Unknown | 25 | $14 \%$ |
| Total | 175 |  |

Table 2.2. APCs for DOAJ journals in group O
Table 2.2 shows APCs for these journals, using the same APC ranges as throughout both parts of this report. Note here and elsewhere that "Unknown" means one of two things:
> The journal explicitly says that it does have an APC but doesn't say what that APC is. (This would automatically put the journal into group C if it wasn't in group O .)
> The journal doesn't say one way or the oth-er-but it's published by an apparently commercial publisher (not a university or society), making it highly likely that it does have an APC.
Compare Table 2.2 to Table 8, the equivalent figures for the Beall set. The unknown percentage is less than half as high-and seven out of ten of the opaque journals don't charge APCs, compared to barely $1 \%$ of the Beall O group. (I did not include zero-count lines in Table 2.2; none of these journals charges more than $\$ 340$.) The sweet spot-for the tiny group of journals with APCs-is clearly \$100$\$ 199$, one step lower than for Beall.

## X: Unreachable or unworkable

Comparing the 172 journals in this set, $5.2 \%$ of the total DOAJ set, with the 427 or $6.3 \%$ in the Beall set is misleading: another 525 journals in the Beall set, marked unreachable in the July 2014 study, weren't rechecked.

What's here? Eighty-five journal links yielded 404 errors. Five yielded entirely empty pages and at least sixteen are now parking pages. More than 30 were simply unreachable, tried twice more than a week apart.

Other cases include journals with archives that don't work at all (although some of these wound up in O rather than X ), sites that require permission to enter, one site that opens more than half a dozen ad windows as soon as you reach the "journal," some either flagged as security risks or with bad certificates, and a handful that had been replaced by blogs or other content that clearly wasn't the journal.

In all of these cases, if there ever were actual, worthwhile articles submitted by actual authors, that's a shame: unless the articles appear in repositories or elsewhere, they're gone. And, of course, if the journals re-emerge, l'd regard them as untrustworthy.

That's the cruft. Let's proceed with tables offering overviews of the remaining journals. While there are 2,851 journals in groups A-E, these tables include only the journals in groups A-D, that is, journals that actually published articles between 2011 and June 30, 2014. Fortunately, there are only eight empty journals (group E), so these tables reflect 2,843 journals- $99.7 \%$ of groups A-E.
Peak article count, DOAJ groups A-D

| Peak | Journal | Percent | Volume | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1,000+$ | 5 | $0.2 \%$ | 23,443 | $6.6 \%$ |
| $600-999$ | 13 | $0.5 \%$ | 22,568 | $6.3 \%$ |
| $300-599$ | 41 | $1.4 \%$ | 40,989 | $11.5 \%$ |
| $100-299$ | 222 | $7.8 \%$ | 93,598 | $26.3 \%$ |
| $75-99$ | 124 | $4.4 \%$ | 28,299 | $8.0 \%$ |
| $50-74$ | 229 | $8.1 \%$ | 36,548 | $10.3 \%$ |
| $35-49$ | 285 | $10.0 \%$ | 31,073 | $8.7 \%$ |
| $20-34$ | 658 | $23.1 \%$ | 43,991 | $12.4 \%$ |
| $10-19$ | 793 | $27.9 \%$ | 28,492 | $8.0 \%$ |
| $5-9$ | 367 | $12.9 \%$ | 6,211 | $1.7 \%$ |
| $1-4$ | 89 | $3.1 \%$ | 664 | $0.2 \%$ |
| None | 17 | $0.6 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | 2,843 |  | 355,876 |  |

Table 2.3. Peak articles in DOAJ journals, groups A-D
Table 2.3 shows the number of articles in the peak year (from 2011 through 2014) of each journal in groups A-D, including 17 with no articles that are in group $D$ rather than $E$ because they were explicitly closed or merged. It also shows the total article volume-the number of articles published in those journals from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2014. The two percent columns are percentages of all journals and all articles, respectively.

If you accept the estimates that there are 28,000 peer-reviewed journals publishing two million articles per year, the "average journal" publishes 71.4
articles per year. By that reckoning, somewhere between $78 \%$ and $86 \%$ of DOAJ journals have fewer articles than average.

The comparable figures for the Beall and OASPA sets appear in Tables 9 and 31, respectively, and those comparisons may be interesting. For one thing, although the DOAJ set is about three-quarters as large as the Beall set (groups A-D only) and a little more than twice as large as the OASPA set, all three sets have roughly the same number of articles (DOAJ has the most, but that's only about $10 \%$ more than Beall and $6 \%$ more than OASPA).

But you need to factor in PLOS One in the OASPA set. Remove its 84,718 articles and the OASPA set's remaining 1,307 journals have 249,149 articles; the DOAJ set has $42 \%$ more.

Ignoring PLOS One, the sweet spot is the same for all three sets: journals with 100-299 articles have more articles in total than any other level.

The DOAJ set-which excludes medicine and biology-has relatively few very prolific journals. Of the five journals with at least 1,000 articles in their best year, only two have peaks in excess of 2,000 (both between 2,500 and 2,900 ) and none published 3,000 or more articles in its peak year. Looking at total volume, only one journal published more than 10,000 articles over the 3.5 years (just barely over 10,000 ) and one other published between 5,400 and 5,500 articles. One other comes in between 3,600 and 4,000, and five published at least 2,000 but fewer than 3,000 articles over 3.5 years.

I find it interesting that so few journals in the DOAJ set had fewer than five articles in the peak year ( 89 or $3.1 \%$ ), given that 876 of the Beall set (22.6\%) and 116 of the OASPA set ( $8.9 \%$ ) are in that "barely publishing" group. Frankly, given the number of small humanities journals in the DOAJ subset used here, I expected to see more very low peaks. On the other hand, there are proportionally more journals in the 10-19 article range, where you'd expect to find quite a few of those small humanities journals.

The DOAJ set definitely tends toward more articles from smaller journals: whereas more than two-thirds of all articles in the Beall set come from journals publishing at least 100 articles in their peak year and that figure's even higher for OASPA (more than three-quarters), journals publishing at least 100 articles per year (at peak) account for barely over half of the DOAJ volume (50.7\%).

Article Processing Charges, DOAJ groups A-D

| APC | Journals | Percent | Volume | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 2,000+$ | 3 | $0.1 \%$ | 462 | $0.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 1,000-\$ 1,999$ | 54 | $1.9 \%$ | 29,791 | $8.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 600-\$ 999$ | 25 | $0.9 \%$ | 6,721 | $1.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 450-\$ 599$ | 28 | $1.0 \%$ | 11,542 | $3.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 300-\$ 449$ | 88 | $3.1 \%$ | 31,069 | $8.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 299$ | 65 | $2.3 \%$ | 20,589 | $5.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 100-\$ 199$ | 134 | $4.7 \%$ | 33,964 | $9.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 50-\$ 99$ | 70 | $2.5 \%$ | 11,151 | $3.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 49$ | 33 | $1.2 \%$ | 8,560 | $2.4 \%$ |
| None | 2,236 | $78.6 \%$ | 188,618 | $53.0 \%$ |
| Unknown | 107 | $3.8 \%$ | 13,440 | $3.8 \%$ |
| Total | 2,843 |  | 355,907 |  |

Table 2.4. APCs for DOAJ journals, groups A-D
Key finding: As shown in Table 2.4 (compare it to Tables 10 and 32), more than three-quarters of the journals in this DOAJ set do not charge APCs at all-and those journals publish more than half of the articles from the whole set.

Compare that $78.6 \%$ and $53.0 \%$ to the $42.0 \%$ and $8.3 \%$ for the OASPA set or, even worse, the $4.2 \%$ (of journals) and $1.6 \%$ (of articles) for the Beall set.

Here we have the answer to the question l've heard (paraphrasing): "Where are all those Gold OA journals that supposedly don't charge APCs?" A quick answer: They're in fields other than medicine and biology and they're not "questionable" journals.

Including the "opaque" journals and the empty journals wouldn't change this significantly. Nearly three-quarters of the "opaque" ones don't charge APCs, and none of the empty ones have stated APCs.

This finding comes as a pleasant surprise. Much as I believe the earlier research (showing that most OA journals don't charge APCs and that most subscription journals, at least in science, do charge au-thor-side fees), I was beginning to think that most of the non-fee OA journals might be non-English journals. Looking at the journals one at a time, those with APCs tend to stand out more than those without-but putting all of them together, the picture's clear.

Some other things are clear, comparing this large set of non-medical, non-biology journals with the mix in Beall and OASPA:
> Almost none of these journals charge $\$ 2,000$ or more (none of them charges $\$ 2,300$ or more): three, compared to 32 in the Beall set
and a striking 251 in the OASPA set. For that matter, one of the three (Journal of the International AIDS Society) could plausibly be considered to be a medical journal and excluded from this set. (A couple of the group charging $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,999$ could also plausibly be considered either medical or biological.)
> While the percentage charging $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,999$ isn't a lot lower than in the Beall set, it's much lower than for OASPA: $1.9 \%$ compared to $19.9 \%$.
> The only APC level with more than 100 jour-nals-and the one with the highest article volume among those charging APCs-is a modest \$100-\$199.
> While there are more "unknown" APCs than I'd like to see, it's a much lower number and percentage than in the Beall set. It is quite possible that a number of those unknowns are actually no-APC cases where I'm suspicious of the publisher's charity. (From what I've seen, DOAJ would consider these to be no-fee journals because no APC is mentioned in the journal's pages.)
Maximum revenue, DOAJ groups A-D

| Revenue | Jrnls | Percent | Volume | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \$1 million + | 2 | $0.4 \%$ | 15,521 | $10.1 \%$ |
| \$250K-\$999K | 15 | $3.0 \%$ | 22,930 | $14.9 \%$ |
| \$100K-\$249K | 21 | $4.2 \%$ | 21,044 | $13.7 \%$ |
| \$50K-\$99K | 55 | $11.0 \%$ | 32,453 | $21.1 \%$ |
| \$25K-\$49K | 64 | $12.8 \%$ | 18,015 | $11.7 \%$ |
| \$15K-\$24K | 56 | $11.2 \%$ | 10,799 | $7.0 \%$ |
| \$10K-\$14K | 51 | $10.2 \%$ | 9,624 | $6.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 5 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 9 \mathrm{~K}$ | 82 | $16.4 \%$ | 12,957 | $8.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 2,500-\$ 4,999$ | 80 | $16.0 \%$ | 7,094 | $4.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 1,000-\$ 2,499$ | 49 | $9.8 \%$ | 2,579 | $1.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 999$ | 25 | $5.0 \%$ | 833 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 500 |  | 153,849 |  |

Table 2.5. Maximum annual revenue, DOAJ groups A-D
Remember that these numbers represent improbable maximum revenue for the peak year for each journal, assuming the current APC, assuming 10 pages per article, assuming no waivers or partial waivers whatsoever. While I needed to make some assumptions for comparability, the nature of those assumptions means that in many cases actual revenue is much lower-with few exceptions, nobody's getting rich off these OA journals. (For example, the top maximum revenue is more than $\$ 5$ million-but
if all articles in that journal are six pages or less, as most of them probably are, that would drop by about $40 \%$ even without waivers.)

The two big earners show about $\$ 3.4$ and $\$ 5.3$ million respectively; those are, not surprisingly, also the two journals with the most articles.

Comparable tables are Table 11 and Table 33. Where OASPA journals with APCs tend to cluster in the upper half of maximum revenue and Beall journals tend to cluster in the bottom four rows, DOAJ journals are roughly in the middle.

Originally, I decided to skip the "maximum revenue by publisher" table since there appeared to be more than 2,500 publishers-but most of those publishers don't charge for journals. There are also problems with authority control (the same publisher appearing more than once under slightly different names), but Table 2.6 below seems to be reasonably accurate.

| Revenue | Publishers | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3-6 mill. | 3 | 27,869 | $18.1 \%$ |
| $1-1.1$ mill. | 1 | 9,339 | $6.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999 \mathrm{~K}$ | 6 | 18,024 | $11.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 250-\$ 499 \mathrm{~K}$ | 5 | 16,414 | $10.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 100-\$ 249 \mathrm{~K}$ | 12 | 13,565 | $8.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 50-\$ 99 \mathrm{~K}$ | 29 | 18,207 | $11.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 25-\$ 49 \mathrm{~K}$ | 41 | 14,158 | $9.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 10-\$ 24 \mathrm{~K}$ | 76 | 18,728 | $12.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 9 \mathrm{~K}$ | 136 | 16,847 | $11.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 72-\$ 999$ | 19 | 698 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 328 | 153,849 |  |

Table 2.6. Maximum annual revenues by publisher, DOAJ
You can compare Table 2.6 to Tables 12 and 34. I think the message is that very few publishers in this DOAJ set are making killings from OA APC charges, with most showing less than $\$ 25,000$ in the best year.

## Article and journal distribution by year

This is a new table (or set of tables) that shows not only the number of articles per year within a given group of journals-but also the number of journals that published one or more articles during any given year, and the average articles per journal during that year. Where appropriate, the tables also show what percentage of journals and articles for each year are free, that is, have no APCs. (That figure may be off in some cases because some commercial publishers change their APCs frequently.) These tables may not
compare directly to some others because journals with unknown APCs are omitted.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 28,754 | 52,186 | 42,166 | 30,743 |
| Journals/APC | 436 | 487 | 450 | 353 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 65.9 | 107.2 | 93.7 | 87.1 |
| Articles/Free | 26,341 | 58,589 | 54,808 | 48,882 |
| Journals/Free | 1,620 | 2,104 | 2,097 | 1,935 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 16.3 | 27.8 | 26.1 | 25.3 |
| Free Articles | $47.8 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ | $61.4 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $78.8 \%$ | $81.2 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ |

Table 2.7a. Article and journal distribution, DOAJ A-D
Table 2.7a includes DOAJ groups A-D, omitting the journals with unknown APCs. As always, 2014 means January l-June 30, 2014, and some journals that publish one or two issues per year may be missing from 2014 because of that schedule.

This table suggests that the number and percentage of Gold OA journals that do charge APCs has been growing within this set, but slowly. On average, journals charging APCs publish more articles than those that don't, and that may make sense: many of the non-APC journals are niche journals. It's also interesting that the number of journals in either category doesn't automatically grow every year; unfortunately, niche journals come and go.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Articles/APC | 63,912 | 101,528 | 82,171 | 57,870 |
| Journals/APC | 695 | 678 | 606 | 565 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 92.0 | 149.7 | 135.6 | 102.4 |
| Articles/Free | 5,047 | 8,486 | 8,443 | 5,841 |
| Journals/Free | 483 | 459 | 308 | 241 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 10.4 | 18.5 | 27.4 | 24.2 |
| Free Articles | $7.3 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $41.0 \%$ | $40.4 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | $29.9 \%$ |

Table 2.7b. Article and journal distribution, OASPA A-D
Table 2.7b shows the same figures for the OASPA set. Here there is growth year to year in number of journals, both with APCs and without. This set includes, I suspect, a larger percentage of journals that are temporarily free-and note that, not only is the average articles per journals also much lower for free journals than for those with APCs, it's generally lower than for free journals in the DOAJ set.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 56,114 | 94,713 | 68,993 | 40,182 |
| Journals/APC | 2,558 | 2,802 | 1,684 | 1,155 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 21.9 | 33.8 | 41.0 | 34.8 |
| Articles/Free | 1,276 | 1,823 | 1,129 | 1,062 |
| Journals/Free | 133 | 101 | 68 | 45 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 9.6 | 18.0 | 16.6 | 23.6 |
| Free Articles | $2.2 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $4.9 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |

Table 2.7c. Article and journal distribution, Beall A-D
There are so few free journals within the Beall set that Table 2.7c may not be especially meaningful.

## DOAJ Group A: Apparently good

| APC | Journals | Peak | Volume | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 600-\$ 999$ | 17 | 2,402 | 6,078 | $2.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 450-\$ 599$ | 23 | 3,782 | 11,364 | $4.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 300-\$ 449$ | 65 | 10,954 | 28,718 | $11.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 299$ | 46 | 4,944 | 12,758 | $5.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 100-\$ 199$ | 85 | 8,972 | 21,265 | $8.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 50-\$ 99$ | 46 | 3,153 | 7,322 | $2.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 49$ | 22 | 2,764 | 7,195 | $2.8 \%$ |
| None | 1,638 | 58,434 | 160,603 | $62.9 \%$ |
| Total | 1,942 | 95,405 | 255,303 |  |

Table 2.8. DOAJ A, journals and articles by APC
Table 2.8 shows the distribution of journals, peak articles and total article volume by APC level. The percentage in this case is percentage of total article volume; empty rows (Group A journals can't have APCs of $\$ 1,000$ or more and can't have unknown APCs) are omitted.

Even ignoring the fact that $62.9 \%$ of all the articles in A journals appear in journals that don't charge APCs, this table is strikingly different from Tables 13 and 35: peak article volume among journals charging any APC is for journals in the relatively low \$300$\$ 449$ range, with more expensive journals falling below \$100-\$199 and \$200-\$299 as well.

Also probably worth noting is that the volume of articles in apparently good journals is so much higher than for the other two sets-roughly five times as high as either of the others.

Did any journal actually charge $\$ 1$ as an article processing fee? No, but one did charge $\$ 12-$ technically, as a reviewing fee (that is, for all submitted articles) rather than a processing fee.

| Revenue | Journals | Peak | Volume | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| \$250K-\$999K | 5 | 3,269 | 8,249 | $3.2 \%$ |
| \$100K-\$249K | 16 | 7,470 | 19,724 | $7.7 \%$ |
| \$50K-\$99K | 37 | 9,388 | 23,660 | $9.3 \%$ |
| \$25K-\$49K | 38 | 4,625 | 11,573 | $4.5 \%$ |
| \$15K-\$24K | 33 | 3,379 | 7,815 | $3.1 \%$ |
| \$10K-\$14K | 30 | 2,426 | 6,703 | $2.6 \%$ |
| \$5K-\$9K | 53 | 3,487 | 9,813 | $3.8 \%$ |
| \$2,500-\$4,999 | 45 | 1,850 | 4,583 | $1.8 \%$ |
| \$1,000-\$2,499 | 32 | 810 | 1,900 | $0.7 \%$ |
| \$1-\$999 | 15 | 267 | 680 | $0.3 \%$ |
| \$0 | 1,638 | 58,434 | 160,603 | $62.9 \%$ |
| Total | 1,942 | 95,405 | 255,303 |  |

Table 2.9. DOAJ A journals and articles by revenue
As in Table 2.8 and in Tables 14 and 36, the tables most comparable to Table 2.9 , the Percent column is percent of total article volume, not of journals. Looking back at Table 36, although only $8.3 \%$ of articles in OASPA journals in general appeared in no-fee journals, that percentage was $42.8 \%$ for Group A. Here, it's once again higher than for all DOAJ journals: $62.9 \%$, more than three out of every five articles. No journal had enough articles to manage $\$ 1$ million with the $\$ 999$ APC limit for group A (that row doesn't appear) and only 21 journals could have brought in at least \$100,000 at peak.

| Peak | Jrnls | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,000+ | 2 | 1,094 | 441 | 1,138 | 1,549 |
| 600-999 | 9 | 3,156 | 5,993 | 4,118 | 2,603 |
| 300-599 | 25 | 4,978 | 8,812 | 6,543 | 5,372 |
| 100-299 | 158 | 10,714 | 22,058 | 19,753 | 16,810 |
| 75-99 | 105 | 4,007 | 7,851 | 6,947 | 6,195 |
| 50-74 | 175 | 4,048 | 8,833 | 8,122 | 7,328 |
| 35-49 | 232 | 3,970 | 8,379 | 7,672 | 6,245 |
| 20-34 | 499 | 4,790 | 10,755 | 10,363 | 9,215 |
| 10-19 | 582 | 3,093 | 7,026 | 6,629 | 5,616 |
| 5-9 | 153 | 413 | 1,022 | 925 | 719 |
| 1-4 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1,942 | 40,265 | 81,178 | 72,210 | 61,652 |

Table 2.10. DOAJ A journals, article distribution by peak
The top row of Table 2.10 may seem incongruous, since it adds up two journals with peak publication of more than 1,000 articles-but never has 2,000 or more articles in any given year.

Turns out that one of the two very active journals appears to be fading away: it had 1,079 articles in 2011, 610 in 2012, 221 in 2013—and only 51 in
the first half of 2014. The other, on the other hand, seems to be booming at the moment, with 470 articles in 2011, 528 in 2012, a mere 220 in 2013-and 1,043 in the first half of 2014. (Both charge APCs, but neither one charges more than $\$ 400$.)

This set and group may be an appropriate place to look at journals that publish relatively few arti-cles-let's say 5 to 19 per year at most. There are 737 such journals within this group. Only 44 of the 737 charge any fees, leaving 693, or just under $36 \%$ of group A. While there are journals among this subset in most broad subject areas (looking ahead to a later discussion), there are a few areas with more small journals than most areas, such as:
$>$ Arts \& Architecture, including such journals as Journal of Jazz studies, Min-Ad: Israel Studies in Musicology Online, Visual Culture \& Gender, Acta Graphica: Journal for Printing Science and Graphic Communications, Journal of Sonic Studies, Voices: A World Forum for Music Therapy, and Trans-Asia Photography Review.
$>$ Education, including such journals as International Journal of Whole Schooling, Complicity: An International Journal of Complexity and Education, InSight: A Journal of Scholarly Teaching, and International Journal of the First Year in Higher Education.
> History, including such journals as International Journal of Badiou Studies, Catalan Historical Review, 19: Interdisciplinary Studies in the Long Nineteenth Century, and Spontaneous Generations: Journal for the History and Philosophy of Science.
> Language and Literature, including such journals as The Irish Journal of Gothic and Horror Studies, Pilgrimages: A Journal of Dorothy Richardson Studies, European Journal of Life Writing, Semantics and Pragmatics, and Persuasions: the Jane Austen Journal On-Line.
> Media \& Communications, including such journals as connexions: international professional communication journal, Scan: Journal of Media Arts Culture, Logeion, and Styles of Communication.
> Religion, including such journals as Rose Croix Journal, The Journal of Analytic Theology, Al-Jami'ah: Journal of Islamic Studies, and Journal of Hebrew Scriptures.
Areas such as Economics, Law, Library Science, Philosophy, Political Science and Sociology also show up more commonly among small journals.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 17,697 | 31,453 | 25,605 | 19,945 |
| Journals/APC | 292 | 304 | 281 | 282 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 60.6 | 103.5 | 91.1 | 70.7 |
| Articles/Free | 22,568 | 49,725 | 46,605 | 41,707 |
| Journals/Free | 1,348 | 1,636 | 1,579 | 1,442 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 16.7 | 30.4 | 29.5 | 28.9 |
| Free Articles | $56.0 \%$ | $61.3 \%$ | $64.5 \%$ | $67.6 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $82.2 \%$ | $84.3 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ | $83.6 \%$ |

Table 2.11a. Article and journal distribution, DOAJ A
It's quite possible that 200 or more very small journals in group A, nearly all without APCs, just hadn't published any 2014 issues or articles by July 1, 2014; it's also possible that a fair number of these very small journals are disappearing.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 5,703 | 8,850 | 9,349 | 5,768 |
| Journals/APC | 188 | 182 | 157 | 145 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 30.3 | 48.6 | 59.5 | 39.8 |
| Articles/Free | 4,083 | 6,720 | 6,675 | 4,753 |
| Journals/Free | 397 | 360 | 232 | 197 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 10.3 | 18.7 | 28.8 | 24.1 |
| Free Articles | $41.7 \%$ | $43.2 \%$ | $41.7 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $67.9 \%$ | $66.4 \%$ | $59.6 \%$ | $57.6 \%$ |

Table 2.11b. Article and journal distribution, OASPA A
Within the relatively small group represented by Table 2.11b, the number of journals in both APC-charging and no-APC categories that actually published articles grows each year, with by far the largest growth between 2012 and 2013-although, oddly enough, there were fewer articles in the feecharging journals in 2013 than in 2012.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 6,832 | 15,360 | 16,067 | 15,639 |
| Journals/APC | 313 | 302 | 186 | 146 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 21.8 | 50.9 | 86.4 | 107.1 |
| Articles/Free | 381 | 572 | 236 | 82 |
| Journals/Free | 35 | 27 | 15 | 8 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 10.9 | 21.2 | 15.7 | 10.3 |
| Free Articles | $5.3 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $10.1 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |

Table 2.11c. Article and journal distribution, Beall A
Here again, the number of journals publishing articles grows from year to year in both categories, with the biggest growth coming between 2012 and 2013-
and, once again, there were actually fewer articles in APC-charging journals in 2013 than in 2012.

## DOAJ Group B: May need investigation

| APC | Journals | Peak | Volume | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 2,000+$ | 3 | 151 | 462 | $0.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 1,000-\$ 1,999$ | 47 | 10,655 | 29,648 | $42.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 600-\$ 999$ | 3 | 171 | 451 | $0.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 450-\$ 599$ | 2 | 43 | 99 | $0.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 300-\$ 449$ | 14 | 583 | 1,451 | $2.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 299$ | 13 | 2,903 | 7,494 | $10.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 100-\$ 199$ | 32 | 5,767 | 11,394 | $16.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 50-\$ 99$ | 14 | 1,346 | 2,654 | $3.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 49$ | 7 | 414 | 1,092 | $1.6 \%$ |
| None | 139 | 6,018 | 15,109 | $21.6 \%$ |
| Total | 274 | 28,051 | 69,854 |  |

Table 2.12. DOAJ B, journals and articles by APC
Most or all of the 50 journals in the top two rows are in group B only because the APCs are so high. Unlike the OASPA set, where nearly all B journals are in those two rows, those journals make up less than one-fifth of group B. There are other reasons for journals to be here-most commonly, I think, sites with really bad English, but also some sites that are so garish and otherwise questionable that they give one pause. It is interesting that although journals charging $\$ 1,000$ or more make up less than one-fifth of the group B journals, they published more than two-fifths of the articles in those journals.

| Revenue | Jrnls | Peak | Volume | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| \$1 million + | 2 | 5,403 | 15,521 | $22.2 \%$ |
| \$250K-\$999K | 10 | 5,342 | 14,681 | $21.0 \%$ |
| \$100K-\$249K | 5 | 480 | 1,320 | $1.9 \%$ |
| \$50K-\$99K | 17 | 3,788 | 8,384 | $12.0 \%$ |
| \$25K-\$49K | 23 | 2,834 | 5,907 | $8.5 \%$ |
| \$15K-\$24K | 18 | 1,237 | 2,498 | $3.6 \%$ |
| \$10K-\$14K | 13 | 1,061 | 2,033 | $2.9 \%$ |
| \$5K-\$9K | 17 | 976 | 2,307 | $3.3 \%$ |
| \$2,500-\$4,999 | 22 | 745 | 1,731 | $2.5 \%$ |
| \$1,000-\$2,499 | 5 | 138 | 296 | $0.4 \%$ |
| \$1-\$999 | 3 | 29 | 67 | $0.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 0$ | 139 | 6,018 | 15,109 | $21.6 \%$ |
| Total | 274 | 28,051 | 69,854 |  |

Table 2.13. DOAJ B journals and articles by revenue

Nothing in Table 2.13 is particularly surprising given previous tables. The dozen journals with the most revenue also account for a big chunk of the articles.

| Peak | Jrnls | 2014 | 2013 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 2011 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| $1,000+$ | 3 | 4,306 | 6,353 | 5,007 | 3,555 |
| $600-999$ | 3 | 1,168 | 2,076 | 858 | 636 |
| $300-599$ | 13 | 1,876 | 4,557 | 4,127 | 2,145 |
| $100-299$ | 40 | 3,574 | 5,766 | 4,288 | 2,977 |
| $75-99$ | 12 | 312 | 886 | 679 | 379 |
| $50-74$ | 36 | 1,031 | 1,864 | 1,634 | 1,177 |
| $35-49$ | 18 | 312 | 696 | 476 | 343 |
| $20-34$ | 70 | 567 | 1,513 | 1,265 | 946 |
| $10-19$ | 63 | 351 | 750 | 652 | 450 |
| $5-9$ | 16 | 71 | 120 | 68 | 43 |
| Total | 274 | 13,568 | 24,581 | 19,054 | 12,651 |

Table 2.14. DOAJ B journals, article distribution by peak
Another case where the group as a whole published more articles in the first half of 2014 than in all of 2011 and where the sweet spot in number of journals-but not in number of articles-is the range from 100 to 299 articles per year.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 2013 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 10,704 | 19,279 | 15,103 | 9,659 |
| Journals/APC | 125 | 135 | 117 | 77 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 85.6 | 142.8 | 129.1 | 125.4 |
| Articles/Free | 2,864 | 5,302 | 3,951 | 2,992 |
| Journals/Free | 111 | 139 | 122 | 106 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 25.8 | 38.1 | 32.4 | 28.2 |
| Free Articles | $21.1 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $47.0 \%$ | $50.7 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ |

Table 2.15a. Article and journal distribution, DOAJ B
This relatively small subset shows a higher percentage of APC-charging journals (and article volume) than group A; that's not especially surprising.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 58,029 | 92,281 | 72,409 | 51,771 |
| Journals/APC | 442 | 420 | 374 | 353 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 131.3 | 219.7 | 193.6 | 146.7 |
| Articles/Free | 477 | 553 | 444 | 178 |
| Journals/Free | 16 | 13 | 12 | 4 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 29.8 | 42.5 | 37.0 | 44.5 |
| Free Articles | $0.8 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $3.5 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |

Table 2.15b. Article and journal distribution, OASPA B

Here, free journals are rare and articles from nofee journals are almost nonexistent.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 31,541 | 49,383 | 32,376 | 14,468 |
| Journals/APC | 1,067 | 938 | 592 | 377 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 29.6 | 52.6 | 54.7 | 38.4 |
| Articles/Free | 430 | 465 | 131 | 66 |
| Journals/Free | 46 | 20 | 9 | 3 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 9.3 | 23.3 | 14.6 | 22.0 |
| Free Articles | $1.3 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $4.1 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |

Table 2.15c. Article and journal distribution, Beall B
Here too, no-fee journals and articles are very rare. The reason is largely the same in both cases: journals that might otherwise be A (especially in the OASPA set) that charge $\$ 1,000$ or more.

## DOAJ Group C: Highly Questionable

This group is quite small-only a bit more than $2 \%$ of the DOAJ set as a whole-and $90 \%$ of the journals are here for one reason: no stated APC for a journal that seems likely to have one. Some of the tables in this section are short and pretty much meaningless.

| APC | Journals | Peak | Volume | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 100-\$ 199$ | 2 | 303 | 621 | $4.9 \%$ |
| None | 5 | 216 | 641 | $5.0 \%$ |
| Unknown | 62 | 4,862 | 11,458 | $90.1 \%$ |
| Total | 69 | 5,381 | 12,720 |  |

Table 2.16. DOAJ C, journals and articles by APC
It's mildly interesting that there are no $C$ journals with significant APCs, but there are so few in general that it's not really meaningful.

| Revenue | Journals | Peak | Volume | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 15 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 24 \mathrm{~K}$ | 1 | 188 | 305 | $24.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 10 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 14 \mathrm{~K}$ | 1 | 115 | 316 | $25.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 0$ | 5 | 216 | 641 | $50.8 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 7 | 519 | 1,262 |  |

Table 2.17 DOAJ C, journals and articles by revenue
The publishers of the two APC-charging journals (or "the publisher," since the two journals have extremely similar names) aren't getting rich from them; I don't believe you can draw other conclusions.

| Peak | Journals | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $600-999$ | 1 | 660 | 900 | 400 |  |
| $300-599$ | 2 | 182 | 346 | 580 | 782 |
| $100-299$ | 14 | 1,126 | 1,650 | 1,167 | 841 |
| $75-99$ | 1 | 29 | 95 | 78 |  |
| $50-74$ | 8 | 205 | 396 | 329 | 304 |
| $35-49$ | 8 | 186 | 297 | 212 | 132 |
| $20-34$ | 21 | 208 | 467 | 304 | 289 |
| $10-19$ | 12 | 76 | 137 | 158 | 136 |
| $5-9$ | 2 | 4 | 14 | 14 | 16 |
| Total | 69 | 2,676 | 4,302 | 3,242 | 2,500 |

Table 2.18. DOAJ C journals, article distribution by peak
Table 2.18 is slightly more interesting, but not a lot. The sweet spot for journals is 20-34 articles, but the sweet spot for articles is 100-299 articles.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Articles/APC | 281 | 209 | 131 |  |
| Journals/APC | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 140.5 | 104.5 | 65.5 |  |
| Articles/Free | 93 | 204 | 192 | 152 |
| Journals/Free | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 18.6 | 40.8 | 48.0 | 38.0 |
| Free Articles | $24.9 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ | $59.4 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $71.4 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 2.19a. Article and journal distribution, DOAJ C
Since these tables omit journals with unknown APCs, Table 2.19 a is nearly useless, mostly saying that the two journals with APCs began in 2012.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Articles/APC | 5 | 23 | 19 | 19 |
| Journals/APC | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 5.0 | 23.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Articles/Free |  | 9 | 9 |  |
| Journals/Free |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Art./Jrnl. |  | 9.0 | 9.0 |  |
| Free Articles | $0.0 \%$ | $28.1 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $0.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Table 2.19b. Article and journal distribution, OASPA C
Table 2.19b with its two journals is even less meaningful, and I probably would have omitted these tables except that including these two allows me to include Table 2.19c, which includes many more journals.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 16,919 | 25,078 | 16,136 | 6,729 |
| Journals/APC | 783 | 696 | 378 | 212 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 21.6 | 36.0 | 42.7 | 31.7 |
| Articles/Free | 400 | 499 | 575 | 762 |
| Journals/Free | 27 | 17 | 16 | 15 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 14.8 | 29.4 | 35.9 | 50.8 |
| Free Articles | $2.3 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $3.3 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ |

Table 2.19c. Article and journal distribution, Beall C
Table 2.19c includes more than 800 journals (in 2014) and may be useful. Note the rapid growth of APC-charging journals, especially in 2012 and 2013; I'm guessing that the apparent growth in non-fee journals in 2014 is mostly journals with APCs temporarily set to zero to attract more articles.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { DOAJ Group D: } \\
& \text { Dormant, diminutive, dying, dead }
\end{aligned}
$$

| Category | Jrnls | $\%$ | Peak | Sum | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C: Ceased | 135 | $24 \%$ | 2,028 | 3,173 | $18 \%$ |
| D: Dying | 38 | $7 \%$ | 662 | 1,260 | $7 \%$ |
| E: Erratic | 91 | $16 \%$ | 1,546 | 2,798 | $16 \%$ |
| H: Hiatus | 77 | $14 \%$ | 4,108 | 7,894 | $44 \%$ |
| N: New | 6 | $1 \%$ | 32 | 32 | $0 \%$ |
| S: Small | 211 | $38 \%$ | 1,281 | 2,873 | $16 \%$ |
| Total | 558 |  | 9,657 | 18,030 |  |

Table 2.20. DOAJ D journals by category
As with group D in other sets, all that these journals have in common is that they failed to publish at least five articles per year in a year other than the starting year, or at least two articles in the first half of 2014-and that two-article rule wasn't enforced for issue-oriented journals that only publish one or two issues a year.

Notes on each category:
> Ceased: Two dozen of these formally ceased or, in one case, was suspended; several closed for new submissions; four merged into other journals or were replaced by other journals. The rest are assumed ceased based on having no content since 2012.
> Dying: These have publication patterns suggesting that they're failing. The 38 journals published 479 articles in 2011, 526 in 2012but 216 in 2013 and 39 in the first half of 2014.
> Erratic: A few of these might belong in category S, but are in fields where you'd expect to
see at least a handful of articles each year. Extreme cases include one journal founded in 2012 with 13 articles that year, none in 2013-and 158 in the first half of 2014. Another, founded in 2007, had no articles in 2011, 79 in 2012, 29 in 2013 and none so far in 2014.
> Hiatus: None of these have any 2014 articles but they have previous publication patterns that don't necessarily suggest they're dying (e.g., 100 articles in 2011, 100 in 2012, 140 in 2013... and none in 2014).
> New: I'm being generous here, given that one of the six published five articles in 2012 but none in 2011 or 2013 (it's an annual) and that four others published their only articles in 2013-but, with one exception, those began in 2013.
> Small: Also judgment calls, these are journals that (with few exceptions) don't show more than ten articles in any year but also don't seem to be dying. Many of them appear to be niche journals in areas where four articles may be enough; some have content other than refereed articles. Just a few examples of what might be niche journals publishing as many articles as are appropriate for their niche: No Foundations: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Law and Justice, Journal of Florida Studies, Paideusis, Journal of Articles in Support of the Null Hypothesis, Women in Judaism: a Multidisciplinary Journal, International Journal of Motorcycle Studies, New Proposals: Journal of Marxism and Interdisciplinary Inquiry, Cuneiform Digital Library Journal, and Journal of Empirical Generalisations in Marketing Science.

| APC | Journals | Peak | Volume | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000-\$ 1,999$ | 7 | 69 | 143 | $0.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 600-\$ 999$ | 5 | 90 | 192 | $1.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 450-\$ 599$ | 3 | 41 | 79 | $0.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 300-\$ 449$ | 9 | 593 | 900 | $5.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 299$ | 6 | 250 | 337 | $1.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 100-\$ 199$ | 15 | 331 | 684 | $3.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 50-\$ 99$ | 20 | 683 | 1,175 | $6.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 49$ | 4 | 163 | 273 | $1.5 \%$ |
| None | 454 | 6,404 | 12,265 | $68.0 \%$ |
| Unknown | 45 | 1,033 | 1,982 | $11.0 \%$ |
| Total | 568 | 9,657 | 18,030 |  |

Table 2.21. DOAJ D, journals and articles by APC

All of the D journals charging $\$ 1,000$ or more come from two publishers; five are erratic, one's plausibly a small journal (Fire Science Reviews) and one appears to be dying. Six of the nine charging \$300-\$449 (none of which charges more than \$325) appear to be on hiatus. Notably, 198 of the 211 journals that may be workable very small journals do not charge APCs-and for eight of the remaining 13, I may be overly suspicious in not assuming that they're actually free.

| Revenue | Journals | Peak | Volume | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \$50K-\$99K | 1 | 263 | 409 | $2.5 \%$ |
| \$25K-\$49K | 3 | 354 | 535 | $3.3 \%$ |
| \$15K-\$24K | 4 | 104 | 181 | $1.1 \%$ |
| \$10K-\$14K | 7 | 322 | 572 | $3.6 \%$ |
| \$5K-\$9K | 12 | 461 | 837 | $5.2 \%$ |
| \$2,500-\$4,999 | 13 | 449 | 780 | $4.9 \%$ |
| \$1,000-\$2,499 | 12 | 213 | 383 | $2.4 \%$ |
| \$1-\$999 | 7 | 54 | 86 | $0.5 \%$ |
| \$0 | 454 | 6,404 | 12,265 | $76.4 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 513 | 8,624 | 16,048 |  |

Table 2.22. DOAJ D journals and articles by revenue
Nobody's getting rich from these journals, but you probably knew that already. As with most other areas, it is true that APC-charging journals seem to publish more articles than those that don't charge APCs-thus, although $88 \%$ of these journals don't charge APCs, those journals only publish $76 \%$ of the articles.

| Peak | Journals | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $300-599$ | 1 |  | 536 | 116 | 37 |
| $100-299$ | 10 | 158 | 894 | 927 | 895 |
| $75-99$ | 6 |  | 327 | 298 | 216 |
| $50-74$ | 10 | 20 | 226 | 479 | 552 |
| $35-49$ | 27 | 11 | 507 | 932 | 703 |
| $20-34$ | 68 | 117 | 771 | 1,230 | 1,191 |
| $10-19$ | 136 | 233 | 896 | 1088 | 1234 |
| $5-9$ | 196 | 314 | 690 | 915 | 863 |
| $0-4$ | 104 | 73 | 182 | 197 | 202 |
| Total | 557 | 926 | 4,493 | 6,066 | 5,856 |

Table 2.23. DOAJ D journals, article distribution by peak
Unlike OASPA and Beall, there is one DOAJ D journal with more than 300 articles in its peak year-more than 500 , in fact. This set is also somewhat unusual in that there are relatively fewer journals that never hit at least five articles.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 72 | 1,245 | 1,327 | 1,139 |
| Journals/APC | 17 | 46 | 50 | 36 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 4.2 | 27.1 | 26.5 | 31.6 |
| Articles/Free | 816 | 3,358 | 4,060 | 4,031 |
| Journals/Free | 156 | 324 | 392 | 383 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 5.2 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.5 |
| Free Articles | $91.9 \%$ | $73.0 \%$ | $75.4 \%$ | $78.0 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $90.2 \%$ | $87.6 \%$ | $88.7 \%$ | $91.4 \%$ |

Table 2.24a. Article and journal distribution, DOAJ D
Remember that you can't directly compare, for example, Table 2.23 and Table 2.24a, both because Table 2.24a omits journals with unknown APCs and because the journal count is only of journals that actually published articles that year. So, for example, it would appear that 28 articles in 2014 were in journals with unknown APCs. Beyond that, what's interesting here is that the percentages for free (nofee) articles and journals are so high.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 175 | 374 | 394 | 312 |
| Journals/APC | 64 | 75 | 74 | 66 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 2.7 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 4.7 |
| Articles/Free | 487 | 1,204 | 1,315 | 910 |
| Journals/Free | 70 | 85 | 63 | 40 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 7.0 | 14.2 | 20.9 | 22.8 |
| Free Articles | $73.6 \%$ | $76.3 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $74.5 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $52.2 \%$ | $53.1 \%$ | $46.0 \%$ | $37.7 \%$ |

Table 2.24b. Article and journal distribution, OASPA D
While it's interesting that no-fee journals included roughly three-quarters of the articles in each year from between $38 \%$ and $53 \%$ of the journals, I'm not sure that it's especially meaningful: there are very few articles involved.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 822 | 4,892 | 4,414 | 3,346 |
| Journals/APC | 396 | 866 | 528 | 420 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 2.1 | 5.6 | 8.4 | 8.0 |
| Articles/Free | 65 | 287 | 187 | 152 |
| Journals/Free | 25 | 37 | 28 | 19 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 2.6 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 8.0 |
| Free Articles | $7.3 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $5.9 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |

Table 2.24c. Article and journal distribution, Beall E
Table 2.24 c is reasonably consistent with the Beall set in general: although free journals and arti-
cles are slightly more prominent here, they're still a tiny part of the whole.

## DOAJ Group E: Empty

No tables at all this time, for a very simple reason. These journals didn't have any articles between 2011 and the first half of 2014, so the only table that could make sense is the one showing journals and articles by APC.

But of the eight journals in this group, five have no APC and the other three don't say one way or the other. Looking at the publishers again, it's likely that all three of the others-either published by universities or associations-wouldn't have APCs if they were active. So, basically, these are all no-fee cases. Four are old enough that they might have been active for a few years, then disappeared; the others are just empty, what I think of as "journals."

That's it for the DOAJ subset discussed here. It's radically different from the other two subsets, with most articles appearing in no-fee journals, which make up the vast majority of the set.

Starting Date

| Year | DOA | DOA\% | OAS | OAS\% | Beall | Beall\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pre-1980 | 43 | $1.5 \%$ | 10 | $0.8 \%$ |  | 0 |
| $1980-1989$ | 50 | $1.8 \%$ | 5 | $0.4 \%$ |  | 0 |
| $1990-1994$ | 73 | $2.6 \%$ | 9 | $0.7 \%$ |  | 0 |
| $1995-1999$ | 242 | $8.5 \%$ | 28 | $2.2 \%$ | 2 | $0.1 \%$ |
| 2000 | 96 | $3.4 \%$ | 4 | $0.3 \%$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2001 | 84 | $3.0 \%$ | 14 | $1.1 \%$ | 4 | $0.1 \%$ |
| 2002 | 111 | $3.9 \%$ | 3 | $0.2 \%$ | 14 | $0.4 \%$ |
| 2003 | 120 | $4.2 \%$ | 6 | $0.5 \%$ | 7 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 2004 | 113 | $4.0 \%$ | 7 | $0.6 \%$ | 2 | $0.1 \%$ |
| 2005 | 143 | $5.0 \%$ | 8 | $0.6 \%$ | 14 | $0.4 \%$ |
| 2006 | 162 | $5.7 \%$ | 20 | $1.6 \%$ | 30 | $0.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | 181 | $6.4 \%$ | 45 | $3.6 \%$ | 129 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 2008 | 208 | $7.3 \%$ | 57 | $4.5 \%$ | 152 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | 222 | $7.8 \%$ | 50 | $4.0 \%$ | 187 | $4.9 \%$ |
| 2010 | 271 | $9.5 \%$ | 403 | $32.0 \%$ | 306 | $8.1 \%$ |
| 2011 | 315 | $11.1 \%$ | 154 | $12.2 \%$ | 634 | $16.7 \%$ |
| 2012 | 269 | $9.5 \%$ | 106 | $8.4 \%$ | 708 | $18.6 \%$ |
| 2013 | 139 | $4.9 \%$ | 230 | $18.3 \%$ | 1,263 | $33.3 \%$ |
| 2014 | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 100 | $7.9 \%$ | 345 | $9.1 \%$ |

Table 2.25. Starting dates
Electronic journals free for the reader go back at least to 1987, although they certainly weren't pre-
sented in HTML or PDF form back then. Perhaps more to the point, a fair number of print journalssome going back to the Nineteenth Century-are OA on the electronic side.

Starting dates for the DOAJ set come from the journals' records as found on DOAJ itself. Starting dates for OASPA journals and the Beall set come from my observations, either of claimed starting date or the start of the archives. Table 2.25 shows starting dates for journals in groups A-D, that is, journals that have actually published at least one article since 2010; it abbreviates DOAJ to DOA and OASPA to OAS so the columns wound fit. The \% columns show the percentage of all the journals in the set that began in that period.


Figure 2.1. DOAJ subset journals by starting year
Figure 2.1 splits the first two columns of Table 2.25 to show how many journals that currently charge APCs or are currently free to authors began in each interval. (Figure 2.1 omits journals with unknown APCs.)


Figure 2.2. Journals by starting date (percentage)

Figure 2.2 shows the percentage columns of Table 2.25 as a graph. I believe it says a lot about the explosion of APC-based OA journals, both questionable and generally trustworthy, in the last few years.
DOAJ free-vs.-APC by starting date
Tables 2.26a through 2.26e show journal and article distribution for journals by starting date. In all cases, journals are in DOAJ groups A-D omitting those with unknown APCs.

| To 1989 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 707 | 1,697 | 1,707 | 1,756 |
| Journals/APC | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 58.9 | 141.4 | 142.3 | 159.6 |
| Articles/Free | 1,549 | 3,471 | 3,122 | 3,145 |
| Journals/Free | 63 | 79 | 78 | 79 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 24.6 | 43.9 | 40.0 | 39.8 |
| Free Articles | $68.7 \%$ | $67.2 \%$ | $64.7 \%$ | $64.2 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $84.0 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ | $87.8 \%$ |

Table 2.26a. Article and journal dist., DOAJ pre-1990
Journals with APCs publish more articles on average than those without APCs: That's true even for pioneering journals.

| 1990-1999 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 3,703 | 6,233 | 5,935 | 5,999 |
| Journals/APC | 24 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 154.3 | 249.3 | 237.4 | 240.0 |
| Articles/Free | 4,555 | 10,235 | 9,836 | 8,932 |
| Journals/Free | 221 | 271 | 272 | 271 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 20.6 | 37.8 | 36.2 | 33.0 |
| Free Articles | $55.2 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ | $62.4 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $90.2 \%$ | $91.6 \%$ | $91.6 \%$ | $91.6 \%$ |

Table 2.26b. Article and journal dist., DOAJ 1990-1999
More than nine out of ten beginning during the 1990s do not charge APCs-but in recent years more than a third of the articles came from the relatively few APC-charging journals.

| 2000-2004 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 2,986 | 6,332 | 6,341 | 5,470 |
| Journals/APC | 51 | 59 | 61 | 60 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 58.5 | 107.3 | 104.0 | 91.2 |
| Articles/Free | 5,203 | 11,964 | 11,657 | 11,967 |
| Journals/Free | 312 | 414 | 427 | 430 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 16.7 | 28.9 | 27.3 | 27.8 |
| Free Articles | $63.5 \%$ | $65.4 \%$ | $64.8 \%$ | $68.6 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $86.0 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ | $87.8 \%$ |

Table 2.26c. Article and journal dist., DOAJ 2000-2004

More APC-charging journals, but a somewhat higher percentage of articles from the non-charging journals-and we're not seeing the very high average articles per journal for APC-charging journals.

| 2005-2009 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 6,550 | 14,272 | 12,751 | 10,528 |
| Journals/APC | 114 | 127 | 131 | 130 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 57.5 | 112.4 | 97.3 | 81.0 |
| Articles/Free | 7,475 | 17,146 | 17,780 | 16,536 |
| Journals/Free | 514 | 691 | 723 | 716 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 14.5 | 24.8 | 24.6 | 23.1 |
| Free Articles | $53.3 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ | $61.1 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $81.8 \%$ | $84.5 \%$ | $84.7 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ |

Table 2.26d. Article and journal dist., DOAJ 2005-2009
The most dramatic change comes in the most recent years, shown in Table 2.26e-with the percentage of free new journals dropping below $80 \%$ for the first time and, in 2012 through 2014, new APC-charging journals publishing a majority of the articles.

| 2010-2014 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Articles/APC | 14,808 | 23,652 | 15,432 | 6,990 |
| Journals/APC | 235 | 262 | 223 | 127 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 63.0 | 90.3 | 69.2 | 55.0 |
| Articles/Free | 7,559 | 15,773 | 12,413 | 8,302 |
| Journals/Free | 510 | 647 | 597 | 439 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 14.8 | 24.4 | 20.8 | 18.9 |
| Free Articles | 33.8\% | 40.0\% | 44.6\% | 54.3\% |
| Free Journals | 68.5\% | 71.2\% | 72.8\% | 77.6\% |

Table 2.26e. Article and journal dist., DOAJ since 2010
OASPA free-vs.-APC by starting date
There aren't enough early OASPA journals-at least as I skimmed the journal sites-to justify a set of five tables comparable to Tables $2.2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}$, but there are enough to justify three broader tables.

| To 1999 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 1,757 | 3,112 | 3,350 | 2,525 |
| Journals/APC | 19 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 92.5 | 148.2 | 159.5 | 120.2 |
| Articles/Free | 397 | 918 | 875 | 813 |
| Journals/Free | 22 | 31 | 31 | 30 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 18.0 | 29.6 | 28.2 | 27.1 |
| Free Articles | $18.4 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $53.7 \%$ | $59.6 \%$ | $59.6 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ |

Table 2.27a. Article and journal dist., OASPA to 1999
With the huge caveat that APCs shown are those currently charged, it's still interesting that a majority
of early journals from what are now OASPA members didn't charge APCs-although those journals currently account for less than one-quarter of the articles from OASPA journals as a whole.

| 2000-2009 | 2014 | 价 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 26,844 | 49,533 | 37,311 | 24,526 |
| Journals/APC | 165 | 169 | 167 | 164 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 162.7 | 293.1 | 223.4 | 149.5 |
| Articles/Free | 167 | 455 | 549 | 482 |
| Journals/Free | 20 | 31 | 32 | 30 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 8.4 | 14.7 | 17.2 | 16.1 |
| Free Articles | $0.6 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $10.8 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ |

Table 2.27b. Article and journal dist., OASPA 2000-2009
PLOS One began during the 2000s, and its presence dominates the articles. The more interesting figures may be journal numbers: roughly the same number of journals started that currently don't charge APCs as before 2000, where new APCcharging journals increased roughly eightfold.

| 2010-2014 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 35,311 | 48,883 | 41,510 | 30,819 |
| Journals/APC | 511 | 488 | 418 | 380 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 69.1 | 100.2 | 99.3 | 81.1 |
| Articles/Free | 4,483 | 7,113 | 7,019 | 4,546 |
| Journals/Free | 441 | 397 | 245 | 181 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 10.2 | 17.9 | 28.6 | 25.1 |
| Free Articles | $11.3 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $46.3 \%$ | $44.9 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $32.3 \%$ |

Table 2.27c. Article and journal dist., OASPA since 2010
Table 2.27 c , representing a majority of OASPA journals, still shows a huge difference in journals per article between APC-charging and free journals.
Beall free-vs.-APC by starting date

| To 2009 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Articles/APC | 6,656 | 19,238 | 22,803 | 22,272 |
| Journals/APC | 268 | 368 | 361 | 388 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 24.8 | 52.3 | 63.2 | 57.4 |
| Articles/Free | 310 | 534 | 648 | 839 |
| Journals/Free | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 17.2 | 29.7 | 36.0 | 46.6 |
| Free Articles | $4.5 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $6.3 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |

Table 2.28a. Article and journal dist., Beall to 2009
Given the dominance of new and recent commercial publishers on Beall's list, it may not be sur-
prising that only a few hundred journals began before 2010—and even among those "early" journals, free cases are a tiny minority: 18 out of 542 (quite a few of which have unknown APCs so don't appear in Table 2.28a). In a way, the existence of any noAPC journals in the Beall set is the anomaly, although some of those that do appear may be free in an effort to attract more articles.

Finally for this discussion, Table 2.28 b shows the bulk of the rapidly growing Beall set, journals that appear to have started in 2010 or beyond (with the biggest jump in 2013). That table closely resembles overall Beall-set figures, so there's little to say.

| 2010-2014 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 49,458 | 75,475 | 46,190 | 17,910 |
| Journals/APC | 2,290 | 2,434 | 1,323 | 767 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 21.6 | 31.0 | 34.9 | 23.4 |
| Articles/Free | 966 | 1,289 | 481 | 223 |
| Journals/Free | 115 | 83 | 50 | 27 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 8.4 | 15.5 | 9.6 | 8.3 |
| Free Articles | $1.9 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $4.8 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |

Table 2.28b. Article and journal dist., Beall 2010-2014

## Journals by Topic

Here's a case where I have an idea what we'll find as we go through the numbers. I'd expect that no-fee OA journals would be far more prevalent in the humanities and social sciences than in the sciences and least prevalent in medicine and biology (after all, that's where the money is). Among the sciences (including engineering, technology and agriculture), I'd mildly expect chemistry and agriculture to have a higher percentage of APC-charging journals than some other fields, with physics and mathematics possibly on the low side. I'd also expect humanities and social science journals to have far fewer articles per journal.

But those are predictions. What's out there?
DOAJ has a specific topic for each journal, but those topics are too specific for the kind of overview I had in mind (so much so that I'm afraid five or six medicine-related journals and four or five biologyrelated journals sneaked into the DOAJ set). I had DOAJ topics for some but not all of the OASPA journals, and few of the Beall journals.

So I pared down the topics appearing in the DOAJ set to a somewhat manageable list of 25 (not including Medicine and Biology), then used that list (plus Medicine and Biology) to assign topics to re-
maining OASPA and Beall journals. As it happens, the latter two sets had very few journals in some fields within the humanities and social sciences. Unquestionably, some of my assignments of narrow topics to broader topics may be quixotic, but I believe they're reasonable overall.

While it may be reasonable to show 25 tables for the DOAJ set, it would be silly to do so for OASPA and Beall (it would be 27 for each of those), especially since there are so few occurrences in some cases. So I grouped the 25 topics into six broader topics and later grouped those six broader topics (plus biology and medicine) into three supertopics: Bio and Medicine, STEM, and HSS.

| Subject | Beall | OAS | DOAJ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture | 286 | 39 | 168 |
| Anthropology | 9 | 9 | 82 |
| Arts \& Architecture | 34 | 7 | 80 |
| Biology | 251 | 146 | 0 |
| Chemistry | 110 | 52 | 73 |
| Computer Science | 314 | 36 | 207 |
| Earth Sciences | 99 | 27 | 106 |
| Ecology | 161 | 23 | 95 |
| Economics | 306 | 17 | 203 |
| Education | 106 | 16 | 234 |
| Engineering | 262 | 60 | 151 |
| History | 17 | 12 | 91 |
| Language and Literature | 48 | 8 | 165 |
| Law | 22 | 10 | 55 |
| Library Science | 13 | 4 | 53 |
| Mathematics | 116 | 44 | 167 |
| Media \& Communications | 18 | 5 | 56 |
| Medicine | 1,086 | 625 | 0 |
| Miscellany | 24 | 28 | 40 |
| Philosophy | 8 | 2 | 72 |
| Physics | 153 | 55 | 68 |
| Political Science | 29 | 10 | 83 |
| Psychology | 31 | 6 | 48 |
| Religion | 3 | 4 | 45 |
| Science | 91 | 13 | 88 |
| Sociology | 84 | 17 | 189 |
| Technology | 131 | 9 | 106 |
| Zoology | 64 | 24 | 118 |
| Total | 3,876 | 1,308 | 2,843 |
| Total without Bio, Med | 2,539 | 537 | 2,843 |

Table 2.29. Journals by (rough) topic

Table 2.29 shows the overall numbers (all of which may be slightly off due to ambiguous assignments), including the number of journals left in each set if you exclude topics related to Biology and Medicine. That final line is particularly interesting for OASPA, where nearly $60 \%$ of the journals are in those two areas. There are more medicine and biology journals in the Beall set, but they only represent a little more than one-third of the total. And, taking away those two fields, the DOAJ set is now the largest of the three. (The handful of medicine and biology journals that sneaked into the DOAJ set were dropped into the Miscellany category.)

Before you spend too much time with "But where's...?" here are notes on those 27 broad topics.
> Agriculture includes aquaculture, fisheries and other aspects of raising and processing plants and animals, including food and some aspects of nutrition.
> Anthropology includes archæology and sports science.
> Arts \& Architecture includes most areas I'd consider to be in the fine arts; there are very few OA architecture journals.
> Biology includes most everything that has "bio" as a leading part of its topic.
> Computer Science includes software, data processing, AI, robotics and portions of what might be considered information science.
> Earth Sciences include geography, geology, oceanography, some related fields-and astronomy.
> Ecology includes environmental fields.
$>$ Economics includes most business topics.
> History includes most aspects of cultural research focused on the past.
> Language and literature includes linguistics and a number of other fields, as well as au-thor-specific journals and the like.
$>$ Law includes forensics.
> Library Science includes bibliography, archives and museums and some aspects of information science.
> Mathematics includes statistics.
> Media \& Communications includes film, performance, communication theory and some related fields.
> Medicine includes aspects of human health and exercise, including some aspects of nutrition.
> Miscellany includes those journals so broadly defined as to include most anything (including, for example, student research journals
and some interdisciplinary journals) as well as the accidental bio and medicine journals in DOAJ and some fields that I couldn't find a place for. It includes some but not all "general works."
> Political Science includes military and defense topics.
> Science covers journals that cover many different sciences, including some interdisciplinary journals that appear science-focused and the various attempts at megajournals.
> Sociology includes a range of social sciences that didn't fit elsewhere.
> Zoology includes veterinary medicine as well as marine biology.
The line between engineering and technology, and the lines between those fields and physics, are frequently fuzzy; I relied on the journal's name in most cases, revisiting the sites as needed.

## Topical Coverage: DOAJ Set

The brief discussions that follow include simplified APC tables with fewer brackets. Journals with unknown APCs are omitted, as are journals in Miscellany. (Thus the numbers won't necessarily match Table 2.29, which does include journals with unknown APCs.)

## Agriculture

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | \% |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 2 | $1.2 \%$ | 361 | $1.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 6 | $3.7 \%$ | 2,300 | $8.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 21 | $13.0 \%$ | 5,135 | $19.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 19 | $11.7 \%$ | 4,533 | $17.5 \%$ |
| None | 114 | $70.4 \%$ | 13,547 | $52.4 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 162 |  | 25,876 |  |

Table 2.30. Agriculture journals and articles
While no-fee journals dominate this topic, the percentages are lower than for the DOAJ set as a whole; most APCs are low.

## Anthropology

| APC | Journals | \% | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 1 | $1.3 \%$ | 348 | $7.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 1 | $1.3 \%$ | 72 | $1.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 1 | $1.3 \%$ | 17 | $0.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 3 | $3.8 \%$ | 426 | $8.7 \%$ |
| None | 73 | $92.4 \%$ | 4,040 | $82.4 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 79 |  | 4,903 |  |

Table 2.31. Anthropology journals and articles

The first social science coming after the first science-related topic offers an immediate contrast, as no-fee journals wholly dominate anthropology and related fields, with more than $80 \%$ of articles and more than $90 \%$ of journals. On the other hand, with half as many journals there are fewer than onefifth as many articles; that's also not surprising.

## Arts \& Architecture

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 1 | $1.3 \%$ | 245 | $6.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 1 | $1.3 \%$ | 20 | $0.5 \%$ |
| None | 78 | $97.5 \%$ | 3,462 | $92.9 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 80 |  | 3,727 |  |

Table 2.32. Arts \& architecture journals and articles
This group includes six journals primarily devoted to architectural design. Note the absence of any journals with high APCs. As Table 2.32 makes clear, essentially all of these journals are free for the author (including all of those devoted to architecture).

## Chemistry

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 3 | $4.3 \%$ | 3,983 | $17.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 1 | $1.4 \%$ | 2,910 | $12.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 3 | $4.3 \%$ | 816 | $3.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 10 | $14.3 \%$ | 4,953 | $21.2 \%$ |
| None | 53 | $75.7 \%$ | 10,684 | $45.8 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 70 |  | 23,346 |  |

Table 2.33. Chemistry journals and articles
Back to the sciences-and this time journals with APCs include a majority of the articles and just under one-quarter of the journals.

## Computer Science

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 6 | $3.2 \%$ | 1,283 | $3.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 4 | $2.1 \%$ | 410 | $1.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 34 | $17.9 \%$ | 17,036 | $44.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 30 | $15.8 \%$ | 7,748 | $20.3 \%$ |
| None | 116 | $61.1 \%$ | 11,658 | $30.6 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 190 |  | 38,135 |  |

Table 2.34 Computer Science journals and articles
In the case of computer science, software, robotics, A\&I and related fields, a relatively high percentage of APC-charging journals (38.9\%) publishes more than two-thirds of the articles. Most APCs are relatively modest, and journals with low APCs account for the bulk of the articles.

Earth Sciences

| APC | Journals | \% | Volume | \% |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 4 | $3.9 \%$ | 95 | $0.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 4 | $3.9 \%$ | 872 | $8.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 5 | $4.9 \%$ | 2,274 | $21.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 3 | $2.9 \%$ | 552 | $5.2 \%$ |
| None | 86 | $84.3 \%$ | 6,911 | $64.6 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 102 |  | 10,704 |  |

Table 2.35. Earth sciences journals and articles
This set of topics lands somewhere in the middle, with a distribution of journals and articles that fits neither the science nor the humanities and social sciences mold all that neatly.
Ecology

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 4 | $4.4 \%$ | 206 | $1.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 2 | $2.2 \%$ | 481 | $3.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 11 | $12.2 \%$ | 7,178 | $48.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 17 | $18.9 \%$ | 2,605 | $17.4 \%$ |
| None | 56 | $62.2 \%$ | 4,490 | $30.0 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 90 |  | 14,960 |  |

Table 2.36. Ecology journals and articles
Ecology, environmental science and the like shows a profile fairly similar to computer science: a little less than two-thirds no-fee journals, but APCcharging journals publish $70 \%$ of the articles, although most publishing is in journals with modest APCs. (All four of the high-priced journals here are from the same publisher, Springer.)
Economics

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 2 | $1.0 \%$ | 75 | $0.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 16 | $8.2 \%$ | 1,684 | $9.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 24 | $12.2 \%$ | 2,811 | $16.5 \%$ |
| None | 154 | $78.6 \%$ | 12,492 | $73.2 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 196 |  | 17,062 |  |

Table 2.37 Economics journals and articles
Economics (including business, management and related topics) shows a slightly higher percentage of APC-charging journals than you might expect for social sciences-but also shows a high percentage of articles from free journals. There are no journals in the $\$ 500-\$ 999$ range, and the two highpriced journals aren't publishing much.

## Education

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 2 | $0.9 \%$ | 316 | $2.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 2 | $0.9 \%$ | 131 | $0.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 11 | $4.8 \%$ | 1,188 | $8.1 \%$ |
| None | 213 | $93.4 \%$ | 13,037 | $88.9 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 228 |  | 14,672 |  |

Table 2.38. Education journals and articles
This is the largest group of journals in the trimmed DOAJ set-and nearly all the journals have either no processing charge or a very small one, with only four journals (and 3.1\% of the articles) $\$ 200$ or higher. The two journals at $\$ 500$ and above are related to medicine or STEM.
Engineering

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 4 | $2.8 \%$ | 879 | $3.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 6 | $4.3 \%$ | 548 | $1.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 16 | $11.3 \%$ | 8,563 | $28.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 24 | $17.0 \%$ | 8,148 | $27.4 \%$ |
| None | 91 | $64.5 \%$ | 11,642 | $39.1 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 141 |  | 29,780 |  |

Table 2.39. Engineering journals and articles
Table 2.39 is about what you might expect: more than one-third of the journals charge feesand those journals publish more than three out of five articles. That said, it's worth noting that there are 91 no-APC journals publishing more than 11,000 articles.
History

| APC | Journals | \% | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 1 | $1.1 \%$ | 79 | $1.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 1 | $1.1 \%$ | 26 | $0.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 1 | $1.1 \%$ | 48 | $1.0 \%$ |
| None | 88 | $96.7 \%$ | 4,704 | $96.8 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 91 |  | 4,857 |  |

Table 2.40. History journals and articles
History is a pretty clear case of pure humani-ties-and if the "it's essentially all free" numbers aren't convincing enough, consider that the one more-than- $\$ 1,000$ journal, with more than half of the non-free articles, could just as well be assigned to Medicine.

Language and Literature

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 1 | $0.6 \%$ | 17 | $0.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 2 | $1.2 \%$ | 1,970 | $18.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 3 | $1.8 \%$ | 1,927 | $17.9 \%$ |
| None | 158 | $96.3 \%$ | 6,881 | $63.7 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 164 |  | 10,795 |  |

Table 2.41 Language and literature journals and articles
Language and literature is an oddity: while more than $96 \%$ of the journals are no-fee Gold OA, there are five fee-charging journals with very high volume, such that fee-charging journals account for more than one-third of total volume. (All five are in language and linguistics, not literature.)
Law

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| None | 55 | $100.0 \%$ | 2,997 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 55 |  | 2,997 |  |

Table 2.42. Law journals and articles
The situation with law journals is straightforward: Not one of them (in the DOAJ set) charges author-side fees.

Library Science

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 1 | $1.9 \%$ | 99 | $3.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 1 | $1.9 \%$ | 46 | $1.4 \%$ |
| None | 50 | $96.2 \%$ | 3,186 | $95.6 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 52 |  | 3,331 |  |

Table 2.43. Library science journals and articles
Not quite as clean as law, but similar to histo-ry-and the single journal charging (barely) more than $\$ 199$ could arguably go in a different grouping. Still, with $96 \%$ free journals and just under $96 \%$ articles in those journals, this is clearly a case where free predominates.

Since it's also my field, I'm going to indulge myself by listing the free journals that actually published articles after 2010 (one early journal, Journal of southern academic and special librarianship, ceased in 2009 after ten years of publishing). Journals are in order by...well, I'll leave that for readers to figure out.
$>$ Library Philosophy and Practice
$>$ DESIDOC Journal of Library E Information Technology
$>$ Evidence Based Library and Information Practice
> International Journal of Information Dissemination and Technology
> International Research: Journal of Library and Information Science
> Annals of Library E Information Studies
> Information Research: an international electronic journal
> Journal of Information Science Theory and Practice
> College and Research Libraries
$>$ Sprouts: Working Papers on Information Systems
> Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management
> Code4Lib Journal
> In the Library with the Lead Pipe
> Collaborative Librarianship
$>$ Journal of Electronic Publishing
$>$ Interdisciplinary Journal of e-Learning and Learning Objects
$>$ Chinese Librarianship : an International Electronic Journal
> International Journal of Digital Curation
$>$ Journal of Library Innovation
$>$ Information Technology and Libraries
$>$ International Journal of Information Science and Management
> Ariadne
> E-Preservation Science
> International Journal of Doctoral Studies
$>$ Partnership : the Canadian Journal of Library and Information Practice and Research
$>$ Museum and Society
$>$ South African Journal of Libraries and Information Science
> Virginia Libraries
$>$ Journal of Librarianship and Scholarly Communication
> Communications in Information Literacy
> University Museums and Collections Journal
> Webology
$>$ Issues in Science and Technology Librarianship : a quarterly publication of the Science and Technology Section, Association of College and Research Libraries
> Brazilian Journal of Information Science
> Journal of eScience Librarianship
$>$ Library and Information Research : Research into Practice for Information \& Library Services
$>$ International Journal of Knowledge Content Development and Technology
$>$ Journal of Information Literacy
$>$ Journal of the Canadian Health Libraries Association
> Hipertext.net
> Education Libraries

If anybody says there aren't any good Gold OA places to submit LIS articles...well, this list is a start.

## Mathematics

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 1 | $0.6 \%$ | 25 | $0.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 4 | $2.5 \%$ | 3,706 | $18.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 3 | $1.8 \%$ | 415 | $2.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 4 | $2.5 \%$ | 409 | $2.1 \%$ |
| None | 151 | $92.6 \%$ | 15,344 | $77.1 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 163 |  | 19,899 |  |

Table 2.44. Mathematics journals and articles
Mathematics doesn't fit neatly into the sciences, and it's not usually thought of as part of the human-ities-but its OA journals behave more like the humanities than like sciences, with only about $7 \%$ APC-charging journals, those journals publishing $23 \%$ of the articles.
Media E Communications

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 3 | $5.4 \%$ | 968 | $27.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 1 | $1.8 \%$ | 44 | $1.3 \%$ |
| None | 52 | $92.9 \%$ | 2,506 | $71.2 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 56 |  | 3,518 |  |

Table 2.45. Media \& communications journals and articles
Looking more closely at two journals charging between $\$ 440$ and $\$ 490$ per article and publishing hundreds of articles (the third, at $\$ 200$, has very few articles), it appears that they're primarily concerned with the technology of multimedia, not media as such. If you remove those two, the percentage of articles in non-fee journals jumps up to $96.7 \%$, very much in line with other humanities and social sciences areas.
Philosophy

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 1 | $1.4 \%$ | 39 | $1.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 2 | $2.9 \%$ | 107 | $3.6 \%$ |
| None | 67 | $95.7 \%$ | 2,858 | $95.1 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 70 |  | 3,004 |  |

Table 2.46. Philosophy journals and articles
Philosophy (including ethics) offers a very typical humanities profile-all the more so when I note that the single high-priced journal could reasonably be grouped with medicine. Perhaps also worth noting that one of the two low-APC journals, publishing 81 of the 107 papers in that row, could equally well be called a no-fee journal, as it suggests a $\$ 20$ donation.

Physics

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 8 | $12.5 \%$ | 12,582 | $52.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 2 | $3.1 \%$ | 230 | $1.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 2 | $3.1 \%$ | 1,811 | $7.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 5 | $7.8 \%$ | 326 | $1.4 \%$ |
| None | 47 | $73.4 \%$ | 9,075 | $37.8 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 64 |  | 24,024 |  |

Table 2.47. Physics journals and articles
I had (perhaps naïvely) anticipated a somewhat higher percentage of no-fee articles here because ArXiv is so well-established as a repository. But there's something else: I include optics as part of physics, and three relatively expensive OA publications from the Optical Society of America appear to have published more than 12,000 articles during the period studied-in other words, about half of the overall total and about $80 \%$ of the APCcharging total. (The numbers for OSA titles are approximations, but based on the page counts of the journals, I'm satisfied that they're not far off.) Without those three journals, physics looks more like mathematics, with about three-quarters of the articles appearing in no-fee journals.

## Political Science

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 1 | $1.2 \%$ | 46 | $1.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 2 | $2.5 \%$ | 98 | $2.2 \%$ |
| None | 78 | $96.3 \%$ | 4,239 | $96.7 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 81 |  | 4,383 |  |

Table 2.48. Political science journals and articles
Political science fits squarely in the humanities and social science mold, with nearly all journals and articles no-fee. All of the military science journals, included in this broader topic, are no-fee.
Psychology

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 2 | $4.3 \%$ | 54 | $1.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 2 | $4.3 \%$ | 143 | $4.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 2 | $4.3 \%$ | 55 | $1.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 1 | $2.2 \%$ | 17 | $0.6 \%$ |
| None | 39 | $84.8 \%$ | 2,780 | $91.2 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 46 |  | 3,049 |  |

Table 2.49. Psychology journals and articles
So is psychology part of medicine and health, a social science or a hard science? "Yes" may be the appropriate answer, but I'd already filtered out jour-
nals that appeared to be medical in nature. For what's left, the overall profile is that of social science publishing, although it's a rare case with a higher percentage of articles than journals falling into the no-fee category. That's partly because most of the APC-charging journals, including the two highpriced ones (you can guess the publisher), aren't publishing many articles.
Religion

| APC | Journals | \% | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 1 | $2.2 \%$ | 54 | $2.9 \%$ |
| None | 44 | $97.8 \%$ | 1,823 | $97.1 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 45 |  | 1,877 |  |

Table 2.50. Religion journals and articles
The single APC-charging journal is at the lowest edge of the bracket, charging $\$ 200$. It's devoted to one religion; the rest are a mix of single-religion and comparative-religion journals. Clearly, the group fits the humanities and social science profile.
Science

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 3 | $3.7 \%$ | 7,995 | $36.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 3 | $3.7 \%$ | 53 | $0.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 7 | $8.5 \%$ | 1,251 | $5.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 18 | $22.0 \%$ | 6,635 | $30.4 \%$ |
| None | 51 | $62.2 \%$ | 5,894 | $27.0 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 82 |  | 21,828 |  |

Table 2.51. Science journals and articles
It's important to remember that "science" in this case means mostly broad multidisciplinary journals, including would-be megajournals, although it also includes a few cases where a science didn't seem to fit anywhere else. In some ways, it's surprising that more than $60 \%$ of these journals don't charge APCs, and less surprising that $73 \%$ of the articles are in feecharging journals.
Sociology

| APC | Journals | \% | Volume | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 4 | $2.2 \%$ | 330 | $2.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 2 | $1.1 \%$ | 94 | $0.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 4 | $2.2 \%$ | 885 | $6.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 14 | $7.7 \%$ | 2,824 | $20.9 \%$ |
| None | 159 | $86.9 \%$ | 9,393 | $69.4 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 183 |  | 13,526 |  |

Table 2.52. Sociology journals and articles
"Sociology" is a misnomer. This group includes lots of social science journals that didn't fit neatly
elsewhere or were in a group too small to consider otherwise. It's somewhat anomalous for the social sciences, with more than $30 \%$ of the articles being in APC-charging journals, but it's worth noting that most of those journals charge very low fees. (The three APC-charging journals that published more than 400 articles each during this period, which in all represent more than 2,000 articles, charge $\$ 40$, $\$ 30$ and $\$ 30$, although in one case that's a submission fee rather than an APC as such.)
Technology

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 6 | $6.1 \%$ | 1,686 | $8.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 2 | $2.0 \%$ | 147 | $0.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 8 | $8.1 \%$ | 1,162 | $5.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 12 | $12.1 \%$ | 3,479 | $17.6 \%$ |
| None | 71 | $71.7 \%$ | 13,338 | $67.3 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 99 |  | 19,812 |  |

Table 2.53. Technology journals and articles
This catchall group is also somewhat of anomaly for the science and technology fields, with a fairly high percentage of articles in no-fee journals.
Zoology

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 3 | $2.7 \%$ | 268 | $1.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 5 | $4.4 \%$ | 978 | $5.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 13 | $11.5 \%$ | 4,401 | $22.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 21 | $18.6 \%$ | 3,318 | $17.0 \%$ |
| None | 71 | $62.8 \%$ | 10,588 | $54.2 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 113 |  | 19,553 |  |

Table 2.54. Zoology journals and articles
I lumped veterinary medicine, zoology and other fields related to the study of living things other than plants and people together under "zoology"and the resulting group is strongly in the science camp, although even here a majority of articles are in free journals.

That's it for individual topics within the DOAJ set, reiterating that I filtered out (almost all) journals on biology, biomedicine and aspects of human medicine and health. (I see no point in showing the Miscellany cluster, since it's so miscellaneous.

## The missing journals

Rechecking the May 7, 2014 DOAJ download, it appears that there are about 1,220 journals in various aspects of medicine, biology and biomedicine that would meet my other qualifiers (English as the first identified language, not published by one of the
publishers in the Beall list or OASPA). But I haven't looked at those $1,220+$ journal sites, and the DOAJ download doesn't specify APC or article volume.

If I had to guess, I'd guess somewhere between half and $80 \%$ of those journals would have APCs, with quite a few of the APCs fairly high, and that the bulk of articles would be from the APC-charging journals. That guess is based on the OASPA journals and, to a lesser degree, on the Beall list. But the Beall list is inherently biased toward journals that charge APCs, so the comparison may not be meaningful.

## Broader Topics, All Sets

I found this exploration of topical coverage revelatory. Were my predictions correct? I won't look back at this point. Meanwhile, I think it may be somewhat revelatory to look at journals in all three sets using broader topics, essentially combining topics in Table 2.29 into eight broader groups (omitting Miscellany). Those eight groups can then be combined into three very broad groups.

Instead of a pure alphabetic arrangement, let's look at the eight groups arranged by the three very broad groups, starting with Bio \& Med and finishing with $H \& S S$. I'm including not only the simplified APC table used in topical coverage so far, but also article distribution tables; there's no actual duplication of information between the two.

## Bio \& Med

Since these were excluded from the DOAJ set, what follows includes the OASPA set and Beall set.

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 400 | $54.7 \%$ | 214,961 | $86.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 80 | $10.9 \%$ | 10,971 | $4.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 40 | $5.5 \%$ | 6,479 | $2.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 3 | $0.4 \%$ | 2,034 | $0.8 \%$ |
| None | 208 | $28.5 \%$ | 12,853 | $5.2 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 731 |  | 247,298 |  |

Table 2.55a. Bio \& Med by APC, OASPA

| APC | Journals | \% | Volume | \% |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 115 | $9.7 \%$ | 8,382 | $10.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 514 | $43.2 \%$ | 40,076 | $51.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 402 | $33.8 \%$ | 10,640 | $13.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 118 | $9.9 \%$ | 17,621 | $22.6 \%$ |
| None | 42 | $3.5 \%$ | 1,128 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 1,191 |  | 77,847 |  |

Three observations from Tables 2.55a and 2.55 b : OASPA publishers are more likely to charge higher prices but also more likely to offer no-fee journals-and, although the Beall set has many more journals ( $63 \%$ more), OASPA journals publish many more articles ( $218 \%$ more, that is, more than three times as many). Let's look at articles and journals by year:

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 46,239 | 78,871 | 63,296 | 46,039 |
| Journals/APC | 501 | 492 | 447 | 423 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 92.3 | 160.3 | 141.6 | 108.8 |
| Articles/Free | 2,179 | 3,524 | 4,266 | 2,884 |
| Journals/Free | 200 | 173 | 123 | 104 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 10.9 | 20.4 | 34.7 | 27.7 |
| Free Articles | $4.5 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $28.5 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ |

Table 2.55c. Bio \& Med distribution, OASPA

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 15,650 | 27,233 | 20,484 | 13,352 |
| Journals/APC | 897 | 988 | 598 | 432 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 17.4 | 27.6 | 34.3 | 30.9 |
| Articles/Free | 303 | 362 | 282 | 181 |
| Journals/Free | 35 | 24 | 17 | 12 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 8.7 | 15.1 | 16.6 | 15.1 |
| Free Articles | $1.9 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $3.8 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |

Table 2.55d, Bio \& Med distribution, Beall
The Beall journals with APCs don't publish many more articles per journal than the free OASPA journals, which publish far few articles per journal than OASPA journals with APCs. Note the rapid growth in Beall APC-charging journals between 2011 and 2013. To the extent that many of the Beall publishers are questionable, the term "gold rush" springs to mind.
Biology

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 84 | $61.8 \%$ | 36,646 | $89.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 10 | $7.4 \%$ | 1,245 | $3.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 7 | $5.1 \%$ | 600 | $1.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ |  | $0.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ |
| None | 35 | $25.7 \%$ | 2,488 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 136 |  | 40,979 |  |

Table 2.56a. Biology by APC, OASPA

Table 2.55b. Bio \& Med by APC, Beall

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 13 | $6.2 \%$ | 815 | $4.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 81 | $38.6 \%$ | 13,118 | $70.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 83 | $39.5 \%$ | 1,597 | $8.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 22 | $10.5 \%$ | 2,810 | $15.1 \%$ |
| None | 11 | $5.2 \%$ | 292 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 210 |  | 18,632 |  |

Table 2.56b. Biology by APC, Beall
The differences aren't quite as extreme for biology and biomed journals, but it's still true that Beall has considerably more journals ( $95 \%$ of them with APCs) than OASPA ( $75 \%$ with APCs) but OASPA journals published many more articles.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 8,256 | 11,382 | 10,060 | 8,793 |
| Journals/APC | 96 | 98 | 92 | 81 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 86.0 | 116.1 | 109.3 | 108.6 |
| Articles/Free | 539 | 774 | 701 | 474 |
| Journals/Free | 33 | 32 | 23 | 17 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 16.3 | 24.2 | 30.5 | 27.9 |
| Free Articles | $6.1 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $25.6 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ |

Table 2.56c. Biology distribution, OASPA

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 2,990 | 5,406 | 5,291 | 4,653 |
| Journals/APC | 145 | 174 | 104 | 69 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 20.6 | 31.1 | 50.9 | 67.4 |
| Articles/Free | 63 | 68 | 58 | 43 |
| Journals/Free | 11 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 5.7 | 11.3 | 11.6 | 14.3 |
| Free Articles | $2.1 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $7.1 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |

Table 2.56d. Biology distribution, Beall
I see less of a gold rush here.

## Medicine

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | \% |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 316 | $53.1 \%$ | 178,315 | $86.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 70 | $11.8 \%$ | 9,726 | $4.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 33 | $5.5 \%$ | 5,879 | $2.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 3 | $0.5 \%$ | 2,034 | $1.0 \%$ |
| None | 173 | $29.1 \%$ | 10,365 | $5.0 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 595 |  | 206,319 |  |

Table 2.57a. Medicine by APC, OASPA

| APC | Journals | \% | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 102 | $10.4 \%$ | 7,567 | $12.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 433 | $44.1 \%$ | 26,958 | $45.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 319 | $32.5 \%$ | 9,043 | $15.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 96 | $9.8 \%$ | 14,811 | $25.0 \%$ |
| None | 31 | $3.2 \%$ | 896 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 981 |  | 59,275 |  |

Table 2.57b. Medicine by APC, Beall
The differences here are accentuated versions of those for biology and medicine combined; the 316 high-priced OASPA journals published three times as many papers as all 981 of the Beall journals.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 37,983 | 67,489 | 53,236 | 37,246 |
| Journals/APC | 405 | 394 | 354 | 342 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 93.8 | 171.3 | 150.4 | 108.9 |
| Articles/Free | 1,640 | 2,750 | 3,565 | 2,410 |
| Journals/Free | 167 | 141 | 100 | 87 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 9.8 | 19.5 | 35.7 | 27.7 |
| Free Articles | $4.1 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $29.2 \%$ | $26.4 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ |

Table 2.57c. Medicine distribution, OASPA

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 12,660 | 21,827 | 15,193 | 8,699 |
| Journals/APC | 751 | 814 | 494 | 363 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 16.9 | 26.8 | 30.8 | 24.0 |
| Articles/Free | 240 | 294 | 224 | 138 |
| Journals/Free | 24 | 18 | 12 | 9 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 10.0 | 16.3 | 18.7 | 15.3 |
| Free Articles | $1.9 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $3.1 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |

Table 2.57d. Medicine distribution, Beall
This may be a good place to note that free journals are based on current status; some of these may have had APCs in previous years.

## STEM: Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics

With "science" excluding biology, biochemistry and other bio- sciences.

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 44 | $3.4 \%$ | 29,363 | $11.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 39 | $3.1 \%$ | 12,635 | $5.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 123 | $9.6 \%$ | 50,042 | $20.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 163 | $12.8 \%$ | 42,706 | $17.2 \%$ |
| None | 907 | $71.1 \%$ | 113,171 | $45.6 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 1,276 |  | 247,917 |  |

Table 2.58a. STEM by APC, DOAJ

| APC | Journals | \% | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 88 | $23.3 \%$ | 55,984 | $73.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 51 | $13.5 \%$ | 5,759 | $7.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 23 | $6.1 \%$ | 3,420 | $4.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 1 | $0.3 \%$ | 49 | $0.1 \%$ |
| None | 214 | $56.8 \%$ | 10,497 | $13.9 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 377 |  | 75,709 |  |

Table 2.58b. STEM by APC, OASPA

| APC | Journals | \% | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 14 | $0.9 \%$ | 666 | $0.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 500 | $32.2 \%$ | 36,014 | $25.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 636 | $41.0 \%$ | 30,897 | $22.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 305 | $19.7 \%$ | 68,619 | $49.1 \%$ |
| None | 96 | $6.2 \%$ | 3,595 | $2.6 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 1,551 |  | 139,791 |  |

Table 2.58c. STEM by APC, Beall
While there are almost as many STEM journals in the Beall set as in DOAJ and OASPA combined (most OASPA journals are in DOAJ, but not in the subset studied), the DOAJ and OASPA journals publish more than twice as many articles.

No-fee journals account for seven out of ten in the DOAJ set and more than half of the OASPA set, but most articles are from APC-charging journals (the vast majority for OASPA). As you'd expect, there are very few free journals and even fewer (per-centage-wise) free articles in the Beall set.

It's also interesting that there are some 132 journals between DOAJ and OASPA charging $\$ 1,000$ or more-but only 14 in the Beall set. For that matter, consider where the bulk of articles from Beall journals appear: very nearly half are in journals with nominal APCs (less than \$200). Of course, if journals are serving primarily developing nations, those APCs may not be quite so nominal.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 25,076 | 45,792 | 37,047 | 26,831 |
| Journals/APC | 326 | 360 | 338 | 268 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 76.9 | 127.2 | 109.6 | 100.1 |
| Articles/Free | 16,867 | 35,868 | 31,774 | 28,662 |
| Journals/Free | 729 | 876 | 863 | 796 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 23.1 | 40.9 | 36.8 | 36.0 |
| Free Articles | $40.2 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ | $46.2 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $69.1 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ | $71.9 \%$ | $74.8 \%$ |

Table 2.58d. STEM distribution, DOAJ

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 16,355 | 20,516 | 17,404 | 10,937 |
| Journals/APC | 158 | 149 | 125 | 114 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 103.5 | 137.7 | 139.2 | 95.9 |
| Articles/Free | 2,084 | 3,398 | 2,921 | 2,094 |
| Journals/Free | 204 | 180 | 100 | 78 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 10.2 | 18.9 | 29.2 | 26.8 |
| Free Articles | $11.3 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $56.4 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ | $40.6 \%$ |

Table 2.58e. STEM distribution, OASPA

|  | 2014 | 2013 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 28,465 | 49,796 | 37,139 | 20,886 |
| Journals/APC | 1,141 | 1,240 | 771 | 525 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 24.9 | 40.2 | 48.2 | 39.8 |
| Articles/Free | 862 | 1,225 | 746 | 762 |
| Journals/Free | 79 | 62 | 44 | 28 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 10.9 | 19.8 | 17.0 | 27.2 |
| Free Articles | $2.9 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $6.5 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |

Table 2.58f. STEM distribution, Beall
I see a similar gold-rush pattern in APCcharging Beall journals, although not as extreme as for medicine. Still, more than twice as many of these journals published articles in 2013 as in 2011, while the growth in journals from 2011 to 2013 was much smaller in the DOAJ and OASPA subsets. It's interesting that the percentage of no-fee journals grew over time for OASPA while shrinking slightly for DOAJ. A (bare) majority of DOAJ articles were from no-fee journals in 2011, but that percentage fell to roughly $40 \%$ by 2014.

## Earth and Life Sciences

This group includes agriculture (and allied sciences), earth sciences (including geology and geography), ecology (including environmental science) and zoology (including veterinary medicine).

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 13 | $2.8 \%$ | 930 | $1.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 17 | $3.6 \%$ | 4,631 | $6.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 50 | $10.7 \%$ | 18,988 | $26.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 60 | $12.8 \%$ | 11,008 | $15.5 \%$ |
| None | 327 | $70.0 \%$ | 35,536 | $50.0 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 467 |  | 71,093 |  |

Table 2.59a. Earth \& life sciences by APC, DOAJ

| APC | Journals | \% | Volume | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 34 | $29.8 \%$ | 7,976 | $52.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 11 | $9.6 \%$ | 1,628 | $10.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 13 | $11.4 \%$ | 2,470 | $16.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 1 | $0.9 \%$ | 49 | $0.3 \%$ |
| None | 55 | $48.2 \%$ | 3,038 | $20.0 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 114 |  | 15,161 |  |

Table 2.59b. Earth \& life sciences by APC, OASPA

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | \% |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 9 | $1.7 \%$ | 410 | $1.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 230 | $44.5 \%$ | 16,608 | $60.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 206 | $39.8 \%$ | 4,766 | $17.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 58 | $11.2 \%$ | 5,313 | $19.4 \%$ |
| None | 14 | $2.7 \%$ | 272 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 517 |  | 27,369 |  |

Table 2.59c. Earth \& life sciences by APC, Beall
Here's a case where, for the non-OASPA/nonBeall journals in DOAJ, exactly half of the articles come from no-fee journals (but fee-charging journals still tend to have more articles per journal). For this group, but not true for STEM overall, most articles in the Beall group appear in moderately expensive journals, those charging $\$ 500$ to $\$ 999$.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 5,247 | 11,282 | 10,118 | 8,910 |
| Journals/APC | 121 | 139 | 130 | 115 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 43.4 | 81.2 | 77.8 | 77.5 |
| Articles/Free | 4,847 | 11,149 | 10,082 | 9,458 |
| Journals/Free | 256 | 313 | 311 | 297 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 18.9 | 35.6 | 32.4 | 31.8 |
| Free Articles | $48.0 \%$ | $49.7 \%$ | $49.9 \%$ | $51.5 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $67.9 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ | $70.5 \%$ | $72.1 \%$ |

Table 2.59d. Earth \& life sciences distribution, DOAJ

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 2,599 | 4,085 | 3,353 | 2,086 |
| Journals/APC | 57 | 52 | 45 | 38 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 45.6 | 78.6 | 74.5 | 54.9 |
| Articles/Free | 653 | 945 | 844 | 547 |
| Journals/Free | 51 | 41 | 27 | 20 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 12.8 | 23.0 | 31.3 | 27.4 |
| Free Articles | $20.1 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $47.2 \%$ | $44.1 \%$ | $37.5 \%$ | $34.5 \%$ |

Table 2.59 e . Earth $\&$ life sciences distribution, OASPA

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 5,413 | 10,519 | 6,611 | 4,554 |
| Journals/APC | 379 | 421 | 227 | 155 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 14.3 | 25.0 | 29.1 | 29.4 |
| Articles/Free | 84 | 57 | 59 | 72 |
| Journals/Free | 11 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 7.6 | 9.5 | 14.8 | 18.0 |
| Free Articles | $1.5 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $2.8 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |

Table 2.59f. Earth \& life sciences distribution, Beall
From 155 in 2011 to 421 in 2013: there's the journal gold rush again. Oddly, the number of free OASPA journals grew significantly (but remains small with few articles), while journal count didn't change very much for DOAJ.

## Engineering and Technology

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 10 | $4.2 \%$ | 2,565 | $5.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 8 | $3.3 \%$ | 695 | $1.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 24 | $10.0 \%$ | 9,725 | $19.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 36 | $15.0 \%$ | 11,627 | $23.4 \%$ |
| None | 162 | $67.5 \%$ | 24,980 | $50.4 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 240 |  | 49,592 |  |

Table 2.60a. Engineering \& Technology by APC, DOAJ

| APC | Journals | \% | Volume | \% |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 11 | $15.9 \%$ | 8,273 | $68.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 10 | $14.5 \%$ | 1,139 | $9.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 4 | $5.8 \%$ | 329 | $2.7 \%$ |
| None | 44 | $63.8 \%$ | 2,409 | $19.8 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 69 |  | 12,150 |  |

Table 2.60b. Eng. \& Tech. by APC, OASPA

| APC | Journals | \% | Volume | \% |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 100 | $28.2 \%$ | 4,760 | $10.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 166 | $46.9 \%$ | 6,557 | $13.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 67 | $18.9 \%$ | 34,739 | $73.6 \%$ |
| None | 21 | $5.9 \%$ | 1,117 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 354 |  | 47,173 |  |

Table 2.60c. Eng. \& Tech. by APC, Beall
In this group, a slight majority of articles in DOAJ journals were in no-fee journals, and such journals represent roughly two-thirds of both DOAJ and OASPA journals-but only one-fifth of the OASPA articles are in no-fee journals. There were no very cheap OASPA journals or very expensive Beall journals, and note where the majority of each group's articles appear.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 4,379 | 9,333 | 6,581 | 4,319 |
| Journals/APC | 70 | 77 | 70 | 50 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 62.6 | 121.2 | 94.0 | 86.4 |
| Articles/Free | 4,391 | 8,110 | 6,500 | 5,917 |
| Journals/Free | 136 | 156 | 154 | 137 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 32.3 | 52.0 | 42.2 | 43.2 |
| Free Articles | $50.1 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ | $49.7 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $66.0 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ | $68.8 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ |

Table 2.60d. Eng. \& Tech. distribution, DOAJ

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 2,337 | 2,968 | 2,866 | 1,570 |
| Journals/APC | 25 | 25 | 21 | 20 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 93.5 | 118.7 | 136.5 | 78.5 |
| Articles/Free | 385 | 868 | 639 | 517 |
| Journals/Free | 44 | 41 | 23 | 17 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 8.8 | 21.2 | 27.8 | 30.4 |
| Free Articles | $14.1 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $63.8 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ | $52.3 \%$ | $45.9 \%$ |

Table 2.60e. Eng. \& Tech. distribution, OASPA

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 11,894 | 18,065 | 11,860 | 4,237 |
| Journals/APC | 271 | 284 | 190 | 115 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 43.9 | 63.6 | 62.4 | 36.8 |
| Articles/Free | 319 | 457 | 175 | 166 |
| Journals/Free | 18 | 15 | 12 | 6 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 17.7 | 30.5 | 14.6 | 27.7 |
| Free Articles | $2.6 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $6.2 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |

Table 2.60f. Eng. \& Tech. distribution, Beall

The percentage of free articles within DOAJ journals drops to just under half in 2012 and 2013—but climbs back (just) over the halfway mark for the first half of 2014. Meanwhile, as is true almost everywhere, APC-charging journals publish more articles per journal than fee-free ones-and Beall APC-charging journals publish fewer articles per journal than other such journals.

What I don't see here: a gold-rush pattern. That may be because engineering and technology don't have as much gold to offer.
Math and Computing

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 7 | $2.0 \%$ | 1,308 | $2.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 8 | $2.3 \%$ | 4,116 | $7.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 37 | $10.5 \%$ | 17,451 | $30.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 34 | $9.6 \%$ | 8,157 | $14.1 \%$ |
| None | 267 | $75.6 \%$ | 27,002 | $46.5 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 353 |  | 58,034 |  |

Table 2.61a. Math \& computing by APC, DOAJ

| APC | Journals | \% | Volume | \% |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 10 | $12.8 \%$ | 14,723 | $78.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 12 | $15.4 \%$ | 1,739 | $9.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 3 | $3.8 \%$ | 279 | $1.5 \%$ |
| None | 53 | $67.9 \%$ | 2,115 | $11.2 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 78 |  | 18,856 |  |

Table 2.61b. Math \& computing by APC, OASPA

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | \% |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 3 | $0.8 \%$ | 210 | $0.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 86 | $21.9 \%$ | 5,942 | $15.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 138 | $35.1 \%$ | 11,688 | $30.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 125 | $31.8 \%$ | 18,537 | $48.5 \%$ |
| None | 41 | $10.4 \%$ | 1,814 | $4.7 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 393 |  | 38,191 |  |

Table 2.61c. Math \& computing by APC, Beall
This is a case where the three-quarters of DOAJ journals without fees publish less than half of the articles-and where DOAJ \& OASPA combined account for more than twice the articles of the Beall group with a little more than $10 \%$ more journals. The OASPA journals may be an extreme case: more than two-thirds of the journals don't charge fees, but those journals account for only one-ninth of the articles.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 6,087 | 10,584 | 9,338 | 5,023 |
| Journals/APC | 77 | 85 | 78 | 57 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 79.1 | 124.5 | 119.7 | 88.1 |
| Articles/Free | 3,992 | 8,555 | 7,807 | 6,648 |
| Journals/Free | 213 | 260 | 255 | 235 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 18.7 | 32.9 | 30.6 | 28.3 |
| Free Articles | $39.6 \%$ | $44.7 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ | $57.0 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $73.4 \%$ | $75.4 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ |

Table 2.61d. Math $\&$ computing distribution, DOAJ

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 4,740 | 5,208 | 4,410 | 2,383 |
| Journals/APC | 24 | 25 | 19 | 18 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 197.5 | 208.3 | 232.1 | 132.4 |
| Articles/Free | 411 | 608 | 602 | 494 |
| Journals/Free | 49 | 45 | 24 | 20 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 8.4 | 13.5 | 25.1 | 24.7 |
| Free Articles | $8.0 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $67.1 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ | $55.8 \%$ | $52.6 \%$ |

Table 2.61e. Math \& computing distribution, OASPA

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 6,298 | 11,792 | 11,242 | 7,045 |
| Journals/APC | 278 | 311 | 218 | 153 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 22.7 | 37.9 | 51.6 | 46.0 |
| Articles/Free | 345 | 534 | 441 | 494 |
| Journals/Free | 33 | 27 | 21 | 15 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 10.5 | 19.8 | 21.0 | 32.9 |
| Free Articles | $5.2 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $10.6 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |

Table 2.61f. Math \& computing distribution, Beall
I'm not sure what to say about these tables.
Other Sciences (Chemistry, Physics, "Science")

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 14 | $6.5 \%$ | 24,560 | $35.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 6 | $2.8 \%$ | 3,183 | $4.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 12 | $5.6 \%$ | 3,878 | $5.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 33 | $15.3 \%$ | 11,914 | $17.2 \%$ |
| None | 151 | $69.9 \%$ | 25,653 | $37.1 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 216 |  | 69,188 |  |

Table 2.62a. Other sciences by APC, DOAJ

| APC | Journals | \% | Volume | \% |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 33 | $28.2 \%$ | 25,012 | $84.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 18 | $15.4 \%$ | 1,289 | $4.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 3 | $2.6 \%$ | 342 | $1.2 \%$ |
| None | 63 | $53.8 \%$ | 2,984 | $10.1 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 117 |  | 29,627 |  |

Table 2.62b. Other sciences by APC, OASPA

| APC | Journals | \% | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 2 | $0.7 \%$ | 46 | $0.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 84 | $29.3 \%$ | 8,794 | $32.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 126 | $43.9 \%$ | 7,886 | $29.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 55 | $19.2 \%$ | 10,030 | $36.9 \%$ |
| None | 20 | $7.0 \%$ | 392 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 287 |  | 27,148 |  |

Table 2.62c. Other sciences by APC, Beall
This group has a distinctly lower percentage of articles in no-fee journals (in the DOAJ group) than most science subsets, and maybe that's not surprising. A majority of OASPA journals are free-but those journals publish barely one-tenth of the articles, and article publication is dominated by high-APC journals.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 9,363 | 14,593 | 11,010 | 8,579 |
| Journals/APC | 58 | 59 | 60 | 46 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 161.4 | 247.3 | 183.5 | 186.5 |
| Articles/Free | 3,628 | 8,033 | 7,366 | 6,626 |
| Journals/Free | 124 | 147 | 143 | 127 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 29.3 | 54.6 | 51.5 | 52.2 |
| Free Articles | $27.9 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ | $43.6 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $68.1 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ | $70.4 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ |

Table 2.62d. Other sciences distribution, DOAJ

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 6,679 | 8,255 | 6,775 | 4,898 |
| Journals/APC | 52 | 47 | 40 | 38 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 128.4 | 175.6 | 169.4 | 128.9 |
| Articles/Free | 635 | 977 | 836 | 536 |
| Journals/Free | 60 | 53 | 26 | 21 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 10.6 | 18.4 | 32.2 | 25.5 |
| Free Articles | $8.7 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $53.6 \%$ | $53.0 \%$ | $39.4 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ |

Table 2.62e. Other sciences distribution, OASPA

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Articles/APC | 4,860 | 9,420 | 7,426 | 5,050 |
| Journals/APC | 212 | 224 | 136 | 102 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 22.9 | 42.1 | 54.6 | 49.5 |
| Articles/Free | 114 | 177 | 71 | 30 |
| Journals/Free | 17 | 14 | 7 | 3 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 6.7 | 12.6 | 10.1 | 10.0 |
| Free Articles | $2.3 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $7.4 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |

Table 2.62f. Other sciences distribution, Beall
The steady decline in free percentages of journals and articles in DOAJ is interesting, as is the sudden doubling of free OASPA journals that actually published articles in 2013-a doubling accompanied by an increase of less than $20 \%$ in article count.

## Humanities and Social Sciences

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 11 | $0.8 \%$ | 594 | $0.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 8 | $0.6 \%$ | 973 | $1.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 35 | $2.5 \%$ | 6,180 | $6.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 63 | $4.4 \%$ | 9,546 | $10.4 \%$ |
| None | 1,309 | $91.8 \%$ | 74,408 | $81.1 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 1,426 |  | 91,701 |  |

Table 2.63a. HSS by APC, DOAJ

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 14 | $11.1 \%$ | 4,208 | $46.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 3 | $2.4 \%$ | 161 | $1.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 14 | $11.1 \%$ | 576 | $6.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 4 | $3.2 \%$ | 655 | $7.2 \%$ |
| None | 91 | $72.2 \%$ | 3,462 | $38.2 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 126 |  | 9,062 |  |

Table 2.63b. HSS by APC, OASPA

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 2 | $0.3 \%$ | 129 | $0.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 142 | $21.2 \%$ | 10,420 | $25.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 357 | $53.3 \%$ | 16,036 | $38.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 146 | $21.8 \%$ | 14,413 | $34.7 \%$ |
| None | 23 | $3.4 \%$ | 557 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 670 |  | 41,555 |  |

Table 2.63c. HSS by APC, OASPA
More than eight out of ten articles in more than nine out of ten fee-free journals: that's the essential message for humanities and social science within DOAJ, or at least most of it-noting that OASPA's HSS journals have less than one-tenth as many arti-
cles and that those in the Beall set provide considerably fewer than half as many.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 3,335 | 5,651 | 4,671 | 3,646 |
| Journals/APC | 99 | 114 | 100 | 79 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 33.7 | 49.6 | 46.7 | 46.2 |
| Articles/Free | 9,308 | 22,375 | 22,726 | 19,991 |
| Journals/Free | 880 | 1,210 | 1,217 | 1,123 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 10.6 | 18.5 | 18.7 | 17.8 |
| Free Articles | $73.6 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ | $83.0 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $89.9 \%$ | $91.4 \%$ | $92.4 \%$ | $93.4 \%$ |

Table 2.63d. HSS distribution, DOAJ

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 1,902 | 3,248 | 2,422 | 1,490 |
| Journals/APC | 98 | 121 | 100 | 71 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 19.4 | 26.8 | 24.2 | 21.0 |
| Articles/Free | 613 | 1,163 | 1,021 | 665 |
| Journals/Free | 66 | 89 | 71 | 48 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 9.3 | 13.1 | 14.4 | 13.9 |
| Free Articles | $24.4 \%$ | $26.4 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $40.2 \%$ | $42.4 \%$ | $41.5 \%$ | $40.3 \%$ |

Table 2.63e. HSS distribution, OASPA

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 9,501 | 15,399 | 10,326 | 5,772 |
| Journals/APC | 511 | 561 | 309 | 194 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 18.6 | 27.4 | 33.4 | 29.8 |
| Articles/Free | 111 | 226 | 101 | 119 |
| Journals/Free | 19 | 14 | 7 | 5 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 5.8 | 16.1 | 14.4 | 23.8 |
| Free Articles | $1.2 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $3.6 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |

Table 2.63f. HSS distribution, Beall
That there are very few no-fee journals or articles in the Beall set says a lot about the nature of the Beall set; it's interesting that even here there's a huge growth in number of journals between 2011 and 2013. The percentage of articles in APC-charging journals grew somewhat (in the DOAJ set) from 2011 to 2014, but it's still around $90 \%$.

HSS is still two fairly distinct subgroups of subjects. Let's look at the two subgroups separately.

## Humanities

This group includes art \& architecture, history, language \& literature, media \& communications, philosophy, and religion.

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 3 | $0.6 \%$ | 135 | $0.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 8 | $1.6 \%$ | 3,263 | $11.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 8 | $1.6 \%$ | 2,146 | $7.7 \%$ |
| None | 487 | $96.2 \%$ | 22,234 | $80.0 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 506 |  | 27,778 |  |

Table 2.64a. Humanities by APC, DOAJ

| APC | Journals | \% | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 1 | $2.8 \%$ | 87 | $6.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 4 | $11.1 \%$ | 285 | $20.4 \%$ |
| None | 31 | $86.1 \%$ | 1,027 | $73.4 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 36 |  | 1,399 |  |

Table 2.64b. Humanities by APC, OASPA

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 19 | $15.6 \%$ | 892 | $17.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 73 | $59.8 \%$ | 2,481 | $48.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 23 | $18.9 \%$ | 1,645 | $32.1 \%$ |
| None | 7 | $5.7 \%$ | 111 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 122 |  | 5,129 |  |

Table 2.64c. Humanities by APC, Beall
Perhaps surprisingly, the percentage of articles from no-fee journals is a little lower for the humani-ties-but the percentage of free journals is higher, in the "nearly all" category. There are too few huamnities articles in OASPA and Beall to discuss.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 1,097 | 1,939 | 1,430 | 1,078 |
| Journals/APC | 18 | 19 | 16 | 13 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 60.9 | 102.1 | 89.4 | 82.9 |
| Articles/Free | 2,708 | 6,470 | 6,988 | 6,068 |
| Journals/Free | 294 | 433 | 448 | 421 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 9.2 | 14.9 | 15.6 | 14.4 |
| Free Articles | $71.2 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $83.0 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $94.2 \%$ | $95.8 \%$ | $96.6 \%$ | $97.0 \%$ |

Table 2.64d. Humanities distribution, DOAJ

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 61 | 120 | 124 | 84 |
| Journals/APC | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 10.2 | 20.0 | 24.8 | 21.0 |
| Articles/Free | 124 | 378 | 299 | 226 |
| Journals/Free | 15 | 30 | 23 | 18 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 8.3 | 12.6 | 13.0 | 12.6 |
| Free Articles | $67.0 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $70.7 \%$ | $72.9 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $71.4 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $82.1 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ |


|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Articles/APC | 1,358 | 2,002 | 1,143 | 515 |
| Journals/APC | 88 | 95 | 52 | 31 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 15.4 | 21.1 | 22.0 | 16.6 |
| Articles/Free | 19 | 36 | 24 | 32 |
| Journals/Free | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 4.8 | 9.0 | 12.0 | 16.0 |
| Free Articles | 1.4\% | 1.8\% | 2.1\% | 5.9\% |
| Free Journals | 4.3\% | 4.0\% | 3.7\% | 6.1\% |

Table 2.64f. Humanities distribution, Beall
While two-thirds or more of humanities articles are in no-fee journals in the OASPA set, that's never more than 378 articles, so it's not a big deal. In general, journals here have fewer articles per journal per year than in the sciences or medicine.

## Social Sciences

This group includes anthropology (and related sciences), economics and business, law, library science, political science, psychology, and sociology.

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 8 | $0.9 \%$ | 459 | $0.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 8 | $0.9 \%$ | 973 | $1.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 27 | $2.9 \%$ | 2,917 | $4.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 56 | $6.1 \%$ | 7,410 | $11.6 \%$ |
| None | 821 | $89.2 \%$ | 52,164 | $81.6 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 920 |  | 63,923 |  |

Table 2.65 a . Social science by APC, DOAJ

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 13 | $14.6 \%$ | 4,121 | $53.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 3 | $3.4 \%$ | 161 | $2.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 9 | $10.1 \%$ | 274 | $3.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 4 | $4.5 \%$ | 655 | $8.6 \%$ |
| None | 60 | $67.4 \%$ | 2,435 | $31.8 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 89 |  | 7,646 |  |

Table 2.65b. Social science by APC, OASPA

| APC | Journals | $\%$ | Volume | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,000+$ | 2 | $0.4 \%$ | 129 | $0.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999$ | 123 | $22.4 \%$ | 9,528 | $26.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 200-\$ 499$ | 284 | $51.8 \%$ | 13,555 | $37.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 1-\$ 199$ | 123 | $22.4 \%$ | 12,768 | $35.1 \%$ |
| None | 16 | $2.9 \%$ | 446 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Subtotal | 548 |  | 36,426 |  |

Table 2.65c. Social science by APC, Beall
Two-thirds of the OASPA social science journals don't charge APCs—but those that do publish more

Table 2.64e. Humanities distribution, OASPA
than two-thirds of the articles. But that's a small group, less than one-sixth as many articles (and one-tenth as many journals) as in the DOAJ set, where more than eight of ten articles and nearly nine of ten journals are fee-free.

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 1,150 | 2,371 | 2,045 | 1,686 |
| Journals/APC | 59 | 72 | 62 | 49 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 19.5 | 32.9 | 33.0 | 34.4 |
| Articles/Free | 7,688 | 17,246 | 16,934 | 14,805 |
| Journals/Free | 608 | 800 | 791 | 719 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 12.6 | 21.6 | 21.4 | 20.6 |
| Free Articles | $87.0 \%$ | $87.9 \%$ | $89.2 \%$ | $89.8 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $91.2 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ | $92.7 \%$ | $93.6 \%$ |

Table 2.65 d . Social science distribution, DOAJ

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 1,228 | 1,965 | 1,277 | 741 |
| Journals/APC | 26 | 26 | 24 | 19 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 47.2 | 75.6 | 53.2 | 39.0 |
| Articles/Free | 489 | 785 | 722 | 439 |
| Journals/Free | 51 | 59 | 48 | 30 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 9.6 | 13.3 | 15.0 | 14.6 |
| Free Articles | $28.5 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $66.2 \%$ | $69.4 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $61.2 \%$ |

Table 2.65e Social science distribution, OASPA

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Articles/APC | 8,143 | 13,397 | 9,183 | 5,257 |
| Journals/APC | 423 | 466 | 257 | 163 |
| Art./Jrnl./APC | 19.3 | 28.7 | 35.7 | 32.3 |
| Articles/Free | 92 | 190 | 77 | 87 |
| Journals/Free | 15 | 10 | 5 | 3 |
| Art./Jrnl. | 6.1 | 19.0 | 15.4 | 29.0 |
| Free Articles | $1.1 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Free Journals | $3.4 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |

Table 2.65f. Social science distribution, Beall
These last three tables may not show a lot new.

## Cost per Article by Topic

The differences between topics may be viewed another way: what's the average cost per article for all articles on that topic within a set?

The tables that follow omit journals with unknown APCs (where it appears that they should have APCs). They're based on the same simplifying assumptions used elsewhere:
> That there are no waivers or discounts (making the average cost higher than it really is)
> That the APC was always the same as it is now (no other assumption is feasible).

| Subject | $\$ /$ article | Articles |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Physics | $\$ 941.36$ | 24,024 |
| Science | $\$ 537.29$ | 21,828 |
| Chemistry | $\$ 299.53$ | 23,346 |
| Miscellany | $\$ 248.66$ | 2,849 |
| Computer science | $\$ 231.92$ | 38,135 |
| Ecology | $\$ 212.25$ | 14,960 |
| Mathematics | $\$ 176.16$ | 19,899 |
| Technology | $\$ 170.09$ | 19,812 |
| Engineering | $\$ 163.80$ | 29,780 |
| Zoology | $\$ 142.54$ | 19,553 |
| Agriculture | $\$ 140.82$ | 25,876 |
| Earth Sciences | $\$ 134.89$ | 10,704 |
| Media \& Communications | $\$ 124.40$ | 3,518 |
| Anthropology | $\$ 85.43$ | 4,903 |
| Language and literature | $\$ 80.14$ | 10,795 |
| Sociology | $\$ 66.57$ | 13,526 |
| Psychology | $\$ 59.44$ | 3,049 |
| Economics | $\$ 46.37$ | 17,062 |
| Education | $\$ 30.63$ | 14,672 |
| History | $\$ 21.62$ | 4,857 |
| Philosophy | $\$ 18.52$ | 3,004 |
| Arts \& Architecture | $\$ 13.31$ | 3,727 |
| Library Science | $\$ 8.64$ | 3,331 |
| Religion | $\$ 5.75$ | 1,877 |
| Political Science | $\$ 3.05$ | 4,383 |
| Law | $\$ 0.00$ | 2,997 |
|  |  |  |

Table 2.66a. Average cost per article, DOAJ
It's a well-structured list: hard sciences at the top, computing and math below, engineering and technology next, earth and life sciences at the bottom of the STEM portion-and then a mixture of humanities and social sciences, with only one of them exceeding $\$ 100$ per article and most under $\$ 50$.

Here's a case where I do wonder what those other 1,220+ DOAJ journals (in medical and biological fields and not in OASPA or Beall) would reveal. Would medicine's average cost per article be higher than physics?

| Subject | \$/article | Articles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Psychology | \$2,106.37 | 2,913 |
| Biology | \$1,840.96 | 40,979 |
| Technology | \$1,780.43 | 3,721 |
| Medicine | \$1,554.96 | 206,319 |
| Chemistry | \$1,450.64 | 13,425 |
| Agriculture | \$1,327.97 | 6,403 |
| Philosophy | \$1,160.00 | 102 |
| Physics | \$1,142.24 | 7,249 |
| Science | \$1,118.24 | 8,917 |
| Anthropology | \$1,102.33 | 459 |
| Mathematics | \$1,052.08 | 14,810 |
| Zoology | \$1,035.75 | 4,734 |
| Computer science | \$902.64 | 4,046 |
| Engineering | \$891.44 | 8,429 |
| Ecology | \$879.49 | 1,985 |
| Earth Sciences | \$742.54 | 1,990 |
| Sociology | \$572.32 | 2,042 |
| Religion | \$215.78 | 299 |
| Political Science | \$212.41 | 318 |
| Education | \$181.50 | 739 |
| Miscellany | \$161.45 | 1,229 |
| Economics | \$106.16 | 662 |
| Arts \& Architecture | \$95.60 | 277 |
| Media \& Communications | \$35.69 | 131 |
| History | \$15.79 | 450 |
| Language and Literature | \$0.00 | 157 |
| Law | \$0.00 | 407 |
| Library science | \$0.00 | 106 |

Table 2.66b. Average cost per article, OASPA
Perhaps not-surprisingly, among OASPA journals, psychology, biology and technology all show higher average prices than medicine, and one humanities field (with a tiny number of articles) is among the most expensive. Of course, the numbers are much smaller, but that's still an interesting discrepancy. At the bottom of the table, the numbers of articles are too small to indicate much.

| Subject | \$/article | Articles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Law | \$592.31 | 633 |
| Medicine | \$591.77 | 59,275 |
| Biology | \$570.68 | 18,572 |
| Earth Sciences | \$538.36 | 3,846 |
| Philosophy | \$533.39 | 224 |
| Anthropology | \$519.19 | 136 |
| Agriculture | \$512.49 | 14,145 |
| Physics | \$503.74 | 8,199 |
| Psychology | \$492.62 | 1,411 |
| Ecology | \$478.13 | 7,614 |
| Zoology | \$465.21 | 1,764 |
| Library Science | \$392.33 | 245 |
| History | \$382.67 | 266 |
| Mathematics | \$365.20 | 11,486 |
| Chemistry | \$352.39 | 4,125 |
| Education | \$323.61 | 7,160 |
| Economics | \$308.31 | 20,000 |
| Religion | \$300.00 | 1 |
| Arts \& Architecture | \$284.52 | 607 |
| Media \& Communications | \$277.07 | 701 |
| Political Science | \$263.38 | 1,642 |
| Science | \$241.18 | 14,824 |
| Sociology | \$237.91 | 5,199 |
| Technology | \$233.32 | 11,034 |
| Language and Literature | \$231.96 | 3,330 |
| Computer Science | \$212.34 | 26,705 |
| Engineering | \$175.05 | 36,139 |
| Miscellany | \$91.94 | 6,009 |

Table 2.66c. Average cost per article, Beall
The first line of Table 2.66 c is a little bizarreand sends me back to the list of journals, where I see three forensics journals with the highest APCs for law journals. Still, the ability of some possiblyquestionable journals to collect APCs in a field where DOAJ journals in general don't attempt to do so, including those published by OASPA members, is striking and possibly sad.

The prices are much more narrowly clustered for the Beall set (where, dropping the bottom three and top three figures, the range is only 2.32 :1 or $\$ 538.36$ to $\$ 231.96$ ) than for OASPA (where, dropping the bottom three and top three) the range is 98.5:1 or $\$ 1,554.96$ to $\$ 15.79$ ).

DOAJ falls somewhere in the middle, with a broader range of (generally lower) prices than Beall
but a narrower range and ratio than OASPA. Dropping the bottom three and top three, the range is 34.7:1 (\$248.66 to \$8.64).

My guess is that the medical journals that make up most of the missing $1,220+$ DOAJ journals would tend to have more and higher APCs than most of what's currently in the DOAJ set, but I can't be sure of that.

I'm guessing some readers are looking at the rightmost column in Tables 2.66a-c and wishing they could reorder the tables by number of articles. Here are the same three tables, reordered so that the largest volume of articles appears first.

| Subject | $\$ /$ article | Articles |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Computer science | $\$ 231.92$ | 38,135 |
| Engineering | $\$ 163.80$ | 29,780 |
| Agriculture | $\$ 140.82$ | 25,876 |
| Physics | $\$ 941.36$ | 24,024 |
| Chemistry | $\$ 299.53$ | 23,346 |
| Science | $\$ 537.29$ | 21,828 |
| Mathematics | $\$ 176.16$ | 19,899 |
| Technology | $\$ 170.09$ | 19,812 |
| Zoology | $\$ 142.54$ | 19,553 |
| Economics | $\$ 46.37$ | 17,062 |
| Ecology | $\$ 212.25$ | 14,960 |
| Education | $\$ 30.63$ | 14,672 |
| Sociology | $\$ 66.57$ | 13,526 |
| Language and literature | $\$ 80.14$ | 10,795 |
| Earth Sciences | $\$ 134.89$ | 10,704 |
| Anthropology | $\$ 85.43$ | 4,903 |
| History | $\$ 21.62$ | 4,857 |
| Political Science | $\$ 3.05$ | 4,383 |
| Arts \& Architecture | $\$ 13.31$ | 3,727 |
| Media \& Communications | $\$ 124.40$ | 3,518 |
| Library Science | $\$ 8.64$ | 3,331 |
| Psychology | $\$ 59.44$ | 3,049 |
| Philosophy | $\$ 18.52$ | 3,004 |
| Law | $\$ 0.00$ | 2,997 |
| Miscellany | $\$ 248.66$ | 2,849 |
| Religion | $\$ 5.75$ | 1,877 |

Table 2.67a. Topics by number of articles, DOAJ
Except for the earth sciences and ecology, sciences consistently have more articles than humanities and social sciences among the DOAJ set.

| Subject | 倍ticle | Articles |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Medicine | $\$ 1,554.96$ | 206,319 |
| Biology | $\$ 1,840.96$ | 40,979 |
| Mathematics | $\$ 1,052.08$ | 14,810 |
| Chemistry | $\$ 1,450.64$ | 13,425 |
| Science | $\$ 1,118.24$ | 8,917 |
| Engineering | $\$ 891.44$ | 8,429 |
| Physics | $\$ 1,142.24$ | 7,249 |
| Agriculture | $\$ 1,327.97$ | 6,403 |
| Zoology | $\$ 1,035.75$ | 4,734 |
| Computer science | $\$ 902.64$ | 4,046 |
| Technology | $\$ 1,780.43$ | 3,721 |
| Psychology | $\$ 2,106.37$ | 2,913 |
| Sociology | $\$ 572.32$ | 2,042 |
| Earth Sciences | $\$ 742.54$ | 1,990 |
| Ecology | $\$ 879.49$ | 1,985 |
| Miscellany | $\$ 161.45$ | 1,229 |
| Education | $\$ 181.50$ | 739 |
| Economics | $\$ 106.16$ | 662 |
| Anthropology | $\$ 1,102.33$ | 459 |
| History | $\$ 15.79$ | 450 |
| Law | $\$ 0.00$ | 407 |
| Political Science | $\$ 212.41$ | 318 |
| Religion | $\$ 215.78$ | 299 |
| Arts \& Architecture | $\$ 95.60$ | 277 |
| Language and Literature | $\$ 0.00$ | 157 |
| Media \& Communications | $\$ 35.69$ | 131 |
| Library science | $\$ 0.00$ | 106 |
| Philosophy | $\$ 1,160.00$ | 102 |
|  |  |  |

Table 2.67 b . Topics by number of articles, OASPA
Where the range from largest to smallest volume in DOAJ is 20.3:1, it's an astonishing 2,022:1 for OASPA because medicine is so dominant. (I dropped the bottom and top three numbers for prices because OASPA includes three topics where all the journals are free, and you can't calculate a ratio where one side is zero. That's not the case for article volume: if there aren't any articles, the topic simply disappears, as with medicine and biology for DOAJ.)

What Table 2.67 b says to me is that almost all of the humanities and social sciences-all except for psychology and sociology-are largely irrelevant to most OASPA publishers, with none of the topics showing even 1,000 articles over 3.5 years, where even the smallest topic in the DOAJ set has close to 1,900 articles over that period.

| Subject | \$/article | Articles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medicine | \$591.77 | 59,275 |
| Engineering | \$175.05 | 36,139 |
| Computer Science | \$212.34 | 26,705 |
| Economics | \$308.31 | 20,000 |
| Biology | \$570.68 | 18,572 |
| Science | \$241.18 | 14,824 |
| Agriculture | \$512.49 | 14,145 |
| Mathematics | \$365.20 | 11,486 |
| Technology | \$233.32 | 11,034 |
| Physics | \$503.74 | 8,199 |
| Ecology | \$478.13 | 7,614 |
| Education | \$323.61 | 7,160 |
| Miscellany | \$91.94 | 6,009 |
| Sociology | \$237.91 | 5,199 |
| Chemistry | \$352.39 | 4,125 |
| Earth Sciences | \$538.36 | 3,846 |
| Language and Literature | \$231.96 | 3,330 |
| Zoology | \$465.21 | 1,764 |
| Political Science | \$263.38 | 1,642 |
| Psychology | \$492.62 | 1,411 |
| Media \& Communications | \$277.07 | 701 |
| Law | \$592.31 | 633 |
| Arts \& Architecture | \$284.52 | 607 |
| History | \$382.67 | 266 |
| Library Science | \$392.33 | 245 |
| Philosophy | \$533.39 | 224 |
| Anthropology | \$519.19 | 136 |
| Religion | \$300.00 | 1 |

Table 2.67 c . Topics by number of articles, Beall
Medicine also dominates the Beall set, as one would expect, but nowhere nearly as much as for OASPA. This is an odd set of numbers, but then many of the Beall set are odd publishers and journals.

## Smaller Journals in DOAJ

The July 2014 report had another group: group F, representing journals that had never reached 20 articles in any year (or 30 in two adjacent years). I distributed that group into groups A-C (and occasionally D) this time around because, especially as I looked at humanities and social science journals in DOAJ, it seemed clear that quite a few journals are healthy with fewer articles.

Eliminating $C$ and $D$ journals and journals tagged as miscellany, I grouped the journals by actu-
al peak figure (from 19 down to 5: below 5, journals are necessarily D). Given that there are very nearly as many STEM journals in this DOAJ subset as there are HSS journals, it's interesting that HSS journals significantly outnumber STEM journals at all peak numbers below 19—and that there are at least twice as many HSS as STEM journals at every peak number below 15. The extreme is the lowest peak, five articles in one year, where there are nine HSS journals and only one STEM journal.

Some of these journals are healthy within their own niches; some may be young. A fair number of these journals do have other content, sometimes a lot of other content; I've only counted what appeared to be peer-reviewed articles and literature reviews. I'll just list journals in the bottom three peak-number groups (five, six or seven articles in the peak year), without adding comments. (Within a group, to avoid the tyranny of the alphabet, journals are arranged by total number of articles in the 3.5-year period, from most to least.)
> Five articles in the peak year: This Rough Magic; Bulletin of the International Association for Paleodontology; International Journal of Bahamian Studies; Electronic International Journal of Time Use Research; Elektropika: International Journal of Electrical, Electronic Engineering and Technology; IALS Student Law Review; Intersectionalities: A Global Journal of Social Work Analysis, Research, Polity and Practice; International Journal of Social Pedagogy; On Our Terms: The Undergraduate Journal of the Athena Center for Leadership Studies; Finno-Ugric Languages and Linguistics
$>$ Six articles in the peak year: International Journal of Digital Accounting Research; Nota Bene: Canadian Undergraduate Journal of Musicology; Federal History; The Irish Journal of Gothic and Horror Studies; Geophysica; Michigan Telecommunications and Technology Law Review; Verniana: Jules Verne Studies/Études Jules Verne; Bulletin of Geography. Physical Geography Series; Communication E Language at Work; Journal for the History of Analytical Philosophy; Hope's Reason: A Journal of Apologetics; Research and Issues in Music Education; Journal of Service-Learning in Higher Education; Journal of Applied Computing and Information Technology; Euxeinos: Governance and Culture in the Black Sea Region; Middle East: Topics E Arguments; International Journal of Production Management and Engineering; Global Advances in

Business Communication; Research in Sierra Leone Studies: Weave; Journal of Environmental and Tourism Analyses; connexions: international professional communication journal.
> Seven articles in the peak year: Croatian Economic Survey; Linguistics Journal; Journal of Jazz studies; Locale: the Australian-Pacific Journal of Regional Food Studies; Traces in Time; Emerging Leadership Journeys; Applied Petrochemical Research; Peitho: Examina Antiqua; Urban Public Economics Review; Journal of Methods and Measurement in the Social Sciences; Pilgrimages: A Journal of Dorothy Richardson Studies; Critical Multilingualism Studies; MediaTropes; Case Studies in Strategic Communication; Hmong Studies Journal; Suvremene Teme: Contemporary Issues; Roczniki Socjologii Morskiej; Journal of Environmental Statistics; Min-Ad: Israel Studies in Musicology Online; Education Libraries; Skepsi; Journal of Praxis in Multicultural Education; Forest Phytophthoras; Oxford University Undergraduate Law Journal; EPJ Nonlinear Biomedical Physics; Physical Activity Review; Open International Journal of Informatics; KOME: International Journal of Pure Communication Inquiry; Current Opinion in Creativity, Innovation and Entrepreneurship; Large-scale Assessments in Education; Journal of Practical Ethics; Micro and Nano Systems Letters.
Especially as I browsed some of these journals, I was reminded that a journal doesn't need to churn out dozens of articles each year to be worthwhile to its audience. Only one of the journals l've listed here has an APC (a fairly high one), and it's probably not hard to spot the exception.

## Two Worlds or Three?

It seems fairly clear that there are at least two worlds of Gold OA publishing, with no-fee journals (most of them with relatively modest numbers of articles) dominating the humanities and social sciences and fee-charging journals (many with higher article volume) more significant in STEMM fields (the second M is Medicine), such that a majority of articles are probably in APC-based journals even though a majority of journals don't charge fees.

What's less clear is whether there are three worlds, with medicine and biomedicine (or medicine and biology in general) separate from science, technology, engineering and mathematics.

I suspect that there are three worlds, based on what I see in the OASPA tables. But without doing the same research for the rest of DOAJ, I can't be sure. (While I might be interested in doing a followup project that looks at all of DOAJ, it's way too much work to do without compensation, with or without the additional $1,220+$ journals.)

## But Wait! There's More!

As noted in the October/November Cites \& Insights, I intended to close this two-part discussion with brief discussions on two related topics: the new criteria for the Directory of Open Access Journals and the possible (dis)economies of scale in OA (that is, why small may be beautiful at least for some areas).

Those discussions will appear-but not in this issue. Once I started looking at subjects and cost per article, this "half" of the report started getting a little long. And, to be honest, I found that I really wanted to sample a portion of the remaining DOAJ Englishlanguage listings, the $1,220+$ journals in biology and medicine that aren't OASPA or Beall.

Expect to see those discussions (along with some non-OA content) in the January 2015 Cites \& Insights, probably out some time in December 2014.
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